arXiv:1610.05272v1 [math.PR] 17 Oct 2016

SLE as a mating of trees in Euclidean geometry

Nina Holden* Xin Sun†

Abstract

Let η be a whole-plane Schramm-Loewner evolution with parameter $\kappa > 4$, parameterized by Lebesgue measure. We introduce a two-dimensional continuous process $(L_t, R_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ which describes the Minkowski content of the left and right, respectively, frontier of η relative to time zero. We prove that the curve η is measurable with respect to the boundary length process (L, R), hence establishing a Euclidean analogue of the result proved by Duplantier-Miller-Sheffield (2014) in the setting of Liouville quantum gravity. In the case $\kappa = 8$ we prove that the process L (resp. R) is the scaling limit of the contour function of a uniform spanning tree (resp. its dual) on $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Imaginary geometry and space-filling SLE **7**

3 Convergence of discrete contour function for $\kappa = 8$ **10**

4 Existence and properties of the contour functions **13**

5 The SLE is measurable with respect to the pair of contour functions **19**

6 Proof of Proposition 5.5 and Lemma 5.7 **24**

1 Introduction

The Schramm-Loewner evolution (SLE) is a one-parameter family of random fractal curves introduced by Oded Schramm as a candidate for scaling limits of interfaces in two-dimensional statistical physics models [Sch00]. Since it was introduced, SLE has proved to be the limit of several lattice models, see e.g. [LSW04, Smi01, SS09, CS12, CDCH$^+$14, KS16, LV16].

Given a uniform spanning tree (UST) $\mathfrak{T}$ on $\mathbb{Z}^2$, there is a.s. a uniquely determined spanning tree $\mathfrak{T}'$ in the dual graph, which is defined such that $\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathfrak{T}'$ never cross each other, see Figure 1. The peano curve λ is a curve which is tracing the interface between $\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathfrak{T}'$. It was proved in [LSW04] that in a chordal setting the peano curve λ of a uniform spanning

*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, ninah@math.mit.edu
†Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, xinsun89@math.mit.edu

tree converges in law in the scaling limit to an SLE_8 η in the space of curves equipped with the L^∞ norm, viewed modulo reparametrization of time.

We trace the interface of $\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathfrak{T}'$ at unit speed as explained in Figure 1, such that the origin is visited at time 0. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define $\mathfrak{L}_n$ (resp. $\mathfrak{R}_n$) to be the height in $\mathfrak{T}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{T}'$) at time n, relative to the height in $\mathfrak{T}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{T}'$) at time 0, where the height expresses the graph distance to the root of the tree at infinity. See Section 3 for a formal definition of $(\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{R})$. We say that $\mathfrak{Z} = (\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{R})$ *encodes* the trees $\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathfrak{T}'$, since, as we will explain later, $\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathfrak{T}'$ are measurable with respect to $\mathfrak{Z}$ up to rotation by $\frac{\pi}{2}$ about the origin.



Figure 1: A spanning tree $\mathfrak{T}$ on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ (blue), its dual tree $\mathfrak{T}'$ (red), and the peano curve λ (green). The peano curve traces the interface of $\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathfrak{T}'$ at unit speed, meaning that it takes one unit of time to traverse each gray triangle. The pair of functions $(\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{R})$ encodes the height in the pair of trees $(\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{T}')$, such that for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{L}_n$ (resp. $\mathfrak{R}_n$) denotes the height in $\mathfrak{T}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{T}'$) at position λ_n, relative to the height in the tree at position λ_0. The blue (resp. red) arrow points to the root of $\mathfrak{T}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{T}'$) at ∞.

We can define corresponding contour functions $Z = (L_t, R_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ for the continuum scaling limit η, which is an SLE_8 in $\mathbb{C}$ from ∞ to ∞. Let η be parametrized by Lebesgue measure, i.e., if $\mathcal{L}$ denotes Lebesgue measure then $\mathcal{L}(\eta([s,t])) = t - s$ for any $s < t$, and let $\eta(0) = 0$. Given an enumeration $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}^2$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\eta^L_{z_n}$ (resp. $\eta^R_{z_n}$) be the curve describing the left (resp. right) frontier of η stopped upon hitting z_n. The set of curves $\{\eta^L_{z_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ defines a space-filling tree $\mathcal{T}$, where each curve $\eta^L_{z_n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a branch of $\mathcal{T}$ from the leaf z_n to the root of $\mathcal{T}$ at ∞. Similarly, the set of curves $\{\eta^R_{z_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ defines a dual space-filling tree $\mathcal{T}'$, and it is immediate from the construction that the branches of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ never cross each other. As we will explain in more detail later, by properties of the natural parametrization of SLE [LS11, LR15, LV16], the natural length measure along the branches of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ is the 5/4-dimensional Minkowski content of the curves $\eta^L_{z_n}$ and $\eta^R_{z_n}$. Let L_t (resp. R_t) denote the

height in $\mathcal{T}$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}'$) at time $t \in \mathbb{R}$, relative to the height in $\mathcal{T}$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}'$) at time 0, when we use the Minkowski content to measure the length of the branches.

Our first result is that Z is well-defined, and is the scaling limit of $\mathfrak{Z}$. Let $\delta \in (0,1]$, and consider an instance of the UST on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and the associated peano curve $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Define $\eta^\delta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ to be a continuous curve, such that for $t \in \delta^2\mathbb{Z}$ we have $\eta^\delta(t) = \delta\lambda_{\delta^{-2}t}$, and for $t \notin \delta^2\mathbb{Z}$ we define η^δ by linear interpolation. For $t \in \delta^2\mathbb{Z}$ define $\mathcal{L}^\delta_t := \check{c}\delta^{5/4}\mathfrak{L}_{\delta^{-2}t}$, where $\check{c} > 0$ is the universal constant in Theorem 3.1, and for $t \notin \delta^2\mathbb{Z}$ define $\mathcal{L}^\delta_t$ by linear interpolation. The function $\mathcal{R}^\delta$ is defined similarly. We view η and η^δ as elements in the set of parametrized curves on $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. The contour functions Z and Z^δ are elements in the space of two-dimensional continuous functions equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.

Theorem 1.1. *For $\delta \in (0,1]$ consider a UST on $\delta\mathbb{Z}^2$ and an instance of a whole-plane space-filling SLE$_8$ η in $\mathbb{C}$. With the notation introduced above, $Z = (L,R)$ is well-defined as a continuous function, and the pair (η^δ, Z^δ) converges in law to (η, Z) as $\delta \to 0$.*

Remark 1.2. *Theorem 1.1 implies that the UST and its dual also converge in the space whose elements are measured, rooted real trees continuously embedded into $\mathbb{C}$. Tightness of the UST in this topology was proved in [BCK14]. The convergence result follows from the above theorem, since the functions L^δ and R^δ are rescaled version of the UST and dual tree contour functions (up a time change of $o_\delta(1)$), and since convergence of contour functions imply convergence in the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov topology (see e.g. [ADH13, Proposition 3.3]).*

We may proceed similarly as above to define contour functions $Z = (L_t, R_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ for SLE$_\kappa$ for other values of κ. Let $\kappa > 4$, and let η be a whole-plane space-filling SLE$_\kappa$ η from ∞ to ∞ as defined in Section 2. Similarly as above, we let η be parametrized by Lebesgue measure and satisfy $\eta(0) = 0$, and for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ let η^L_z (resp. η^R_z) denote the left (resp. right) frontier of η when the curve first hits z. Given any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let L_t (resp. R_t) denote the length of $\eta^L_{\eta(t)}$ (resp. $\eta^R_{\eta(t)}$) relative to the length of η^L_0 (resp. η^R_0). Lengths are measured by considering the natural parametrization of the curves, which is given by $(1 + 2/\kappa)$-dimensional Minkowski content.



Figure 2: For $\kappa > 4$ and an SLE$_\kappa$ η, the function $Z = (L,R)$ describes the evolution of the left and the right, respectively, boundary length of η. The boundary length is measured in $(1 + 2/\kappa)$-dimensional Minkowski content. The time $t_2 > 0$ on the figure is a time at which R reaches a running infimum relative to time 0. We remark that $\eta((-\infty, 0])$, which is shown in green, has a different topology than on the figure for $\kappa \in (4,8)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\kappa > 4$, and let η and Z be as above.*

(i) The process Z is a.s. well-defined as an α-Hölder continuous process for any $\alpha < 1/2 + 1/\kappa$, and the following probability decays faster than any power of M for fixed α

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{s,t\in[0,1]} \frac{|Z_t - Z_s|}{|s-t|^\alpha} > M\right]. \tag{1}$$

(ii) For any $a > 0$, $(Z_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \overset{d}{=} (a^{1/2+1/\kappa} Z_{a^{-1}t})_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$. The process Z has stationary increments, and the tail σ-algebra of Z is trivial. Furthermore,

$$\limsup_{t\to\pm\infty} V_t = \infty, \quad \liminf_{t\to\pm\infty} V_t = -\infty, \quad \text{for } V = L, R. \tag{2}$$

(iii) Assume $\kappa = 8$, and let $\widetilde{Z} = (\widetilde{L}_t, \widetilde{R}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be some arbitrary reparameterization of Z, i.e., there is an increasing surjective function $s : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} s(t) = \pm\infty$ and $\widetilde{Z}_t = Z_{s(t)}$. Then Z is measurable with respect to $\widetilde{Z}$.

Theorem 1.3 will be proved in Section 4. Most of this paper is devoted to proving the following theorem. We will conclude the proof of the theorem in Section 5, using results from Sections 2, 4 and 6.

Theorem 1.4. *Let η be a whole-plane space-filling SLE$_\kappa$ for $\kappa > 4$ in $\mathbb{C}$, and define Z as in Theorem 1.3. Then η is measurable with respect to Z, modulo rotations of η about the origin.*

The analogous result to this theorem in the context of Liouville quantum gravity (LQG) was proved in [DMS14], see further details after Corollary 1.5. Our proof is different in nature as it relies on the Euclidean geometry. The discrete analogue of Theorem 1.4 for $\kappa = 8$ says that a spanning tree $\mathfrak{T}$ on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is measurable with respect to the pair of contour functions $(\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{R})$ of $\mathfrak{T}$ and its dual $\mathfrak{T}'$. This discrete result follows from e.g. a bijection of Mullin [Mul67] (see also [Ber07, She16]) in the context of planar maps.

The result that (L^δ, R^δ) converges in law to (L, R) means that the UST on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ converges to SLE$_8$ in a Euclidean analogue of the peanosphere topology, which was used in [She16, GMS15, GS15a, GS15b, KMSW15, GKMW16, GHS16b] to prove convergence of decorated random planar maps to SLE-decorated LQG. Tree-decorated discrete models are said to converge to SLE-decorated LQG in the peanosphere sense if the contour functions of the trees converge to a pair of correlated Brownian motions encoding a pair of continuum random trees.

The natural parametrization of SLE$_\kappa$ for $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ is a parametrization which is conjectured (or proved, for $\kappa = 2$) to capture the natural parametrization of the associated discrete models, i.e., one unit of time corresponds to traversing one edge/vertex/face of the discrete model. It is therefore natural to conjecture that Z for other values of κ is the scaling limit of the contour functions of other discrete tree-decorated models having SLE$_\kappa$ as a scaling limit. We remark that such convergence results would follow by proceeding as in Section 3, once analogues of Theorem 3.1, Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 (by other authors) were established. Certain discrete models which are conjectured to converge to SLE$_\kappa$ with $\kappa > 8$, for

example the 6-vertex model [KMSW16], are naturally decorated with *multiple* pairs of trees, and one may then hope to establish joint convergence of these trees by proving joint convergence of the corresponding pairs of contour functions, similarly to the results established in [GHS16b] for random planar maps.

Since L and R are continuous functions satisfying (2), the functions L and R are the contour functions of a pair of infinite-volume real trees [LG05]. Inspired by [DMS14], we deduce from Theorem 1.4 that we may "glue" together the two trees to obtain a topological sphere decorated with a space-filling path, which can then be embedded canonically into the complex plane. See Section 5 for a proof of the following corollary, and see Figure 3 for an illustration.

Corollary 1.5. *For $\kappa > 4$ and Z with the same marginal law as in Theorem 1.4, we obtain a topological sphere with a space-filling path when gluing together the associated pair of trees as explained in Figure 3. This path-decorated sphere has a canonical embedding into the complex plane, where the law of the curve is that of a space-filling SLE_κ.*



Figure 3: The figure illustrates how we obtain a topological sphere decorated with a space-filling path from a pair of functions L, R satisfying (2). Each function L, R encodes an infinite tree (shown in blue and red, respectively, on the right figure), and the idea of the construction is to glue together these two trees. Letting $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to (0,1)$ be a strictly increasing bijective map we let $(L'_t)_{t\in(0,1)}$ and $(R'_t)_{t\in(0,1)}$ be $(0,1)$-valued processes defined by $L'_t := \phi(L_{\phi^{-1}(t)})$ and $R'_t := \phi(R_{\phi^{-1}(t)})$. For some constant $C > 0$ we draw R' and $C - L'$ in a rectangle as on the left figure, where C is chosen sufficiently large such that the two curves don't intersect. We define an equivalence relation on the rectangle by identifying (i) all points on the boundary of the rectangle, (ii) all points that lie on the same line segment below R' (resp. above L'), and (iii) all points that lie on the same vertical line between R' and $C - L'$. We will argue (inspired by arguments in [DMS14]) that the set of equivalence classes just defined gives a topological sphere. The sphere is decorated with the space-filling path which maps $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ to the equivalence class of the point $(\phi(t_0), R'_{\phi(t_0)})$. This figure first appeared in [GHS16b].

Finally we will describe an analogue of Theorem 1.4 and its corollary in the context of LQG [DMS14]. In this setting Z has the law of a two-dimensional correlated Brownian

motion. Recall that for any $\gamma \in (0,2)$ and a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, γ-Liouville quantum gravity [DS11, RV14] is a random surface which may be written heuristically as $e^{\gamma h}\, dz$, where h is an instance of a Gaussian free field (GFF) [She07] or a related form of distribution in D and dz denotes Lebesgue measure in D. The term $e^{\gamma h}$ does not make literal sense since h is a distribution and not a function, but as explained in the above references it has been made sense of as a random area measure in D. The GFF also induces a random length measure along certain curves in D.

For any $\kappa > 4$ and $\gamma := 4/\sqrt{\kappa}$ the authors of [DMS14] considered a pair of Brownian motions $Z = (L_t, R_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ with correlation $-\cos(4\pi/\kappa)$ satisfying $(L_0, R_0) = (0,0)$ (see [GHMS16] for the correlation when $\kappa > 8$). By "gluing" together the corresponding infinite volume continuum random trees [Ald91a, Ald91b, Ald93] as in Figure 3, they obtained a topological sphere with a space-filling path and an area measure, called a *peanosphere*. They then proved an analogue of Theorem 1.4 above, namely that the peanosphere has a canonical embedding into $\mathbb{C}$, where the space-filling path has the law of an SLE_κ η, and the area measure has the law corresponding to an independent instance of the γ-LQG surface known as the γ-quantum cone [DMS14, Section 4.2]. In other words, given a pair (η, h), where η is a space-filling SLE_κ in $\mathbb{C}$ and h is an independent instance of the field associated with a γ-quantum cone, they proved that (η, h) is measurable with respect to Z. In their context L (resp. R) measures the γ-LQG length of the left (resp. right) boundary of η relative to time 0, and corresponds to a parametrization of η by γ-LQG area.

1.1 Notation

We write $a \preceq b$ (resp. $a \succeq b$) if there is a constant C independent of the parameters of interest such that $a \leq Cb$ (resp. $a \geq Cb$). We write $a \asymp b$ if $a \preceq b$ and $a \succeq b$. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$ we let $B_r(z) := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |z - w| < r\}$ be the Euclidean ball of radius r centered at z. We let $\mathbb{D} = B_1(0)$ be the unit disk centered at the origin. For any $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ we let $\mathfrak{m}(D)$ denote the d-dimensional Minkowski content of D, where the dimension d is implicitly understood to be given by $d = 1 + 2/\kappa$ when we work with SLE_κ or $\mathrm{SLE}_{16/\kappa}$ for $\kappa > 4$. Throughout the paper we will use $\underline{\kappa}$ (rather than κ) when we consider SLE parameters smaller than 4, and we will let $\underline{\eta}$ denote an associated $\mathrm{SLE}_{\underline{\kappa}}$. We let $\mathcal{L}(D)$ denote the Lebesgue measure of D, and we let $\mathrm{diam}(D)$ denote the diameter of D.

Acknowledgements

N.H. was supported by a fellowship from the Norwegian Research Council. X.S. was partly supported by NSF grant DMS-1209044. Part of this work was carried out during the Random Geometry semester at the Isaac Newton Institute, Cambridge University, and the authors would like to thank the institute and the organizers of the program for their hospitality. The authors would also like to thank Martin Barlow, Stephane Benoist, Ewain Gwynne, Greg Lawler, Jean-Francois Le Gall, Scott Sheffield, Wendelin Werner, David Wilson and Dapeng Zhan for helpful discussions.

2 Imaginary geometry and space-filling SLE

In this section we give a brief review of imaginary geometry [MS16c, MS16d, MS16a, MS13], the construction of space-filling SLE_κ for $\kappa > 4$, and prove a few basic lemmas which will be needed later.

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a domain, let $\underline{\kappa} \in (0,4)$, and define

$$\chi = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\underline{\kappa}}} - \frac{\sqrt{\underline{\kappa}}}{2}, \qquad \lambda = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\underline{\kappa}}}, \qquad \lambda' = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{16/\underline{\kappa}}} = \frac{\pi\sqrt{\underline{\kappa}}}{4}. \tag{3}$$

Let h be an instance of the Gaussian free field [She07, MS16c] in D. We view h as a field modulo a global additive multiple of $2\pi\chi$, see [MS16c, MS13]. For any given $z \in D$ and angle $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, imaginary geometry provides a way to define the flow line η_z^θ for h of angle θ started at z. The flow line may be interpreted as a solution to the following formal ODE with initial condition $\eta_z^\theta(0) = z$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\eta_z^\theta(t) = e^{i\left(h(\eta_z^\theta(t))/\chi + \theta\right)}, \qquad t > 0.$$

This ODE does not make literal sense, since h is a distribution and not a function, but has been made sense of in [MS13] (see also the earlier works [Dub09, MS16c] for the case $z \in \partial D$). Given an instance of h, imaginary geometry defines a collection of coupled flow lines η_z^θ, simultaneously for any $z \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ and θ a rational multiple of π. If $\theta = \pi/2$ (resp. $\theta = -\pi/2$) we say that the flow line is west-going (resp. east-going), and we denote it by η_z^L (resp. η_z^R).

For two domains D and $\widetilde{D}$, a conformal transformation $\psi : \widetilde{D} \to D$, and a field h (resp. $\widetilde{h}$) in D (resp. $\widetilde{D}$), we say that the pairs (D, h) and $(\widetilde{D}, \widetilde{h})$ are equivalent iff

$$(\widetilde{D}, \widetilde{h}) = (\psi^{-1}(D), h \circ \psi - \chi \arg \psi'). \tag{4}$$

If η_z^θ is a flow line for the field h in D, then the image $\widetilde{\eta}_{\widetilde{z}}^\theta$ of η_z^θ under ψ^{-1} is a flow line for $\widetilde{h}$ in $\widetilde{D}$.

In the case when $D = \mathbb{C}$, the marginal law of η_z^θ for any $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, is that of a whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_{\underline{\kappa}}(2 - \underline{\kappa})$ from z to ∞ [MS13, Theorem 1.1]. If η is a curve in D, we say that a field h has *flow line boundary data* if the boundary data on the left (resp. right) side of η are given by $-\lambda'$ (resp. λ'), plus χ times the winding of the curve in counterclockwise direction. See [MS16c, Figure 1.9] and [MS13, Figure 1.9]. For any stopping time τ for the flow line η_z^θ in $\mathbb{C}$, the conditional law of h given $\eta_z^\theta([0,\tau])$ is that of a GFF in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \eta_z^\theta([0,\tau])$ with flow line boundary data. If $D \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a domain with harmonically non-trivial boundary and $z \in D$, then the marginal law of η_z^θ depends on the boundary data of h. For any stopping time τ for η_z^θ, the conditional law of h given $\eta_z^\theta([0,\tau])$ is that of a GFF in $D \setminus \eta_z^\theta([0,\tau])$ with flow line boundary data on $\eta_z^\theta([0,\tau])$ and the same boundary data as before on ∂D.

2.1 Imaginary geometry lemmas

The following lemma will allow us to compare flow lines generated from two instances of a GFF with different boundary values. We will only give a sketch of the proof, since the proof proceeds similarly as [MS16b, Lemma 5.4] (see also [MS16c, Remark 3.5] for a related result).

Lemma 2.1. *Let h_1 and h_2 be two Dirichlet GFF on $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{D}$ modulo a global additive multiple of $2\pi\chi$, such that*[1] $\sup_{x,y\in\partial\mathbb{D}}|h_i(x)-h_i(y)|<M$ *for $i=1,2$ and some $M>0$. Let $U\subset\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{D}$ be a domain bounded away from $\mathbb{D}$ and ∞. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ only depending on M and U, such that*

$$\mu_1(E)\leq C\mu_2(E)^{1/2},$$

where μ_1 and μ_2 are the probability measures associated with h_1 and h_2, respectively, and E is an arbitrary event in the σ-algebra of $h_1|_U$ (equivalently, $h_2|_U$).

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the lemma under the assumption that h_i is a regular Dirichlet GFF satisfying $\sup_{z\in\partial\mathbb{D}}|h_i(z)|<M$ for $i=1,2$, since the field h_i in the statement of the lemma is a regular Dirichlet GFF, viewed modulo a global additive multiple of $2\pi\chi$. Let g be the harmonic function in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{D}$ which is constant at ∞, and has Dirichlet boundary values $(h_1-h_2)|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Then $h_1\overset{d}{=}h_2+g$. Let $(\cdot,\cdot)_\nabla$ denote the Dirichlet inner product, which is defined by $(f,g)_\nabla:=(2\pi)^{-1}\int\nabla f\cdot\nabla g$ for smooth functions f and g. It is explained in [MS16b] that the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $h_1|_U$ with respect to $h_2|_U$ is given by

$$\exp((h_2|_U,g|_U)_\nabla-\|g|_U\|_\nabla^2/2).$$

For any event E as in the statement of the lemma, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that

$$\mu_1(E)\leq\mathbb{E}[\exp(2(h_2|_U,g|_U)_\nabla-\|g|_U\|_\nabla^2)]^{1/2}\cdot\mu_2(E)^{1/2}.$$

To conclude the proof, it is sufficient to show that the expected value on the right side is bounded by some constant only depending on U and M. It is sufficient to show that $\|g|_U\|_\nabla\leq C$ for some constant C satisfying these properties, since $(h_2|_U,g|_U)_\nabla$ is a normal random variable with variance $\|g|_U\|_\nabla$ and expectation bounded in terms of U and M. The result $\|g|_U\|_\nabla\leq C$ follows by standard regularity estimates for harmonic functions (see e.g. [Eva10, Chapter2, Theorem 7]), which say that $|\nabla g|\leq C'$ for some C' only depending on U and M. □

The following basic lemma will be used later to deduce triviality of certain σ-algebras associated with whole-plane space-filling SLE. We remark that alternative arguments to prove similar results for other variants of the GFF can be found in e.g. [MS16c, Section 3.1] and [DMS14, Lemma 8.2].

Lemma 2.2. *Let h be a whole-plane GFF modulo $2\pi\chi$. For each $\delta>0$ let $\mathcal{F}_\delta$ (resp., $\mathcal{G}_R$) be the σ-algebra generated by the restriction of h to $B_\delta(0)$ (resp., $\mathbb{C}\setminus B_R(0)$). Then $\cap_{\delta>0}\mathcal{F}_\delta$ and $\cap_{R>0}\mathcal{G}_R$ are trivial.*

Proof. Let $\widehat{h}$ be a whole-plane GFF such that the average of $\widehat{h}$ about $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is equal to zero, and let U be an independent uniform random variable in $[0,2\pi\chi]$. Then h is equal in law to $\widehat{h}+U$ modulo $2\pi\chi$. The field $\widehat{h}$ is invariant in law under the map $z\mapsto z^{-1}$, which implies that the same property holds for h. In order to conclude the proof it the lemma, it is therefore

[1] Since h_i for $i=1,2$ is defined modulo a *global* additive multiple of $2\pi\chi$, its maximal fluctuation $\sup_{x,y\in\partial\mathbb{D}}|h_i(x)-h_i(y)|$ at $\partial\mathbb{D}$ may a priori be arbitrarily large. The maximal and minimal values of the field at $\partial\mathbb{D}$ are not well-defined, since the field is defined modulo $2\pi\chi$, but differences such that $h_i(x)-h_i(y)$ for $x,y\in\partial\mathbb{D}$ are well-defined.

sufficient to show that $\cap_{R>0}\mathcal{G}_R$ is trivial. Write $h = h^0 + h^\dagger$, where h^0 is a radially symmetric function modulo $2\pi\chi$, and $h^\dagger$ is a distribution which has mean zero on any circle around the origin. Tail triviality of h^0 follows by using that $(h^0(e^{-t}))_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \overset{d}{=} (B_t + U + 2\pi\chi\mathbb{Z})_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$, where B is a standard two-sided Brownian motion. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let α_n be independent standard normal random variables, and let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis for the Dirichlet inner product for the set of smooth compactly supported functions in $\mathbb{C}$ with mean zero. A whole-plane GFF $\widetilde{h}$ modulo a global additive constant can be written on the form $\sum_n \alpha_n f_n$, which implies that if $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_R$ is the σ-algebra generated by the restriction of $\widetilde{h}$ to $\mathbb{C} \setminus B_R(0)$, then $\cap_{R>0}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_R$ is trivial. Writing $\widetilde{h} = \widetilde{h}^0 + \widetilde{h}^\dagger$ as above, it follows that the tail of $\widetilde{h}^\dagger$ is trivial. Since $\widetilde{h}^\dagger \overset{d}{=} h^\dagger$, the tail of $h^\dagger$ is also trivial. □

2.2 Space-filling SLE$_\kappa$

For $\kappa > 4$, whole-plane space-filling SLE$_\kappa$ is a space-filling curve in $\mathbb{C}$ which starts and ends at ∞. It is closely related to regular SLE$_\kappa$ by the following informal descriptions. For $\kappa \geq 8$ the law of a whole-plane space-filling SLE$_\kappa$ can be obtained by considering a regular chordal or radial SLE$_\kappa$ in any domain D, fixing some point $z \in D$ independent of the SLE, and "zooming in" near z. For $\kappa \in (4, 8)$ we may define a chordal or radial space-filling SLE$_\kappa$ by considering a regular chordal or radial SLE$_\kappa$, and filling in the created bubbles by independent space-filling loops. As above, we obtain whole-plane space-filling SLE$_\kappa$ by considering the local behavior of the chordal or radial space-filling curve near some fixed point.

Whole-plane space-filling SLE$_\kappa$ for all $\kappa > 4$ was first constructed by using imaginary geometry, see [MS13] and [DMS14, Footnote 9]. For any fixed $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, the two flow lines $\eta^L_{z_1}$ and $\eta^L_{z_2}$ will eventually merge, and before this happens the curves will a.s. never cross each other. Therefore the set of flow lines η^L_z for all $z \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ form a tree in $\mathbb{C}$ which is rooted at infinity, such that two branches in the tree never cross each other. The whole-plane space-filling SLE$_\kappa$ is defined to be the curve which traces this tree. More precisely, first define a total ordering on all points of $\mathbb{Q}^2$ by saying that z_1 comes before z_2 if $\eta^L_{z_1}$ merges into $\eta^L_{z_2}$ on the left side. A separate argument (see [MS13, Section 4.3]) shows that there is a well-defined continuous space-filling curve in $\mathbb{C}$ which visits the points of $\mathbb{Q}^2$ according to this order, and we define η to be this curve.

Lemma 2.3. *A whole-plane space-filling SLE$_\kappa$ η parametrized by Lebesgue measure has stationary increments.*

Proof. We want to show that for any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\eta \overset{d}{=} \eta(\cdot + t) - \eta(t)$. The proof will proceed similarly as [DMS14, Lemma 9.3], where an analogous result for quantum parametrization of η was shown. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\tau_z := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \eta(t) = z\}$ be the first time at which η hits z. For any fixed $R > 0$, let z_0 be sampled uniformly at random from Lebesgue measure on $B_R(0)$, independently of η. By translation invariance in law of the GFF, and by independence of z_0 and η, we have $\eta(\cdot + \tau_{z_0}) - z_0 \overset{d}{=} \eta$, which implies that

$$\big(\eta, \eta(\cdot + t) - \eta(t)\big) \overset{d}{=} \big(\eta(\cdot + \tau_{z_0}) - z_0, \eta(\cdot + \tau_{z_0} + t) - \eta(\tau_{z_0} + t)\big). \tag{5}$$

When $R \to \infty$, the total variation distance between τ_{z_0} and $\tau_{z_0} + t$, hence $\eta(\cdot + \tau_{z_0}) - z_0$ and $\eta(\cdot + \tau_{z_0} + t) - \eta(\tau_{z_0} + t)$, converges to 0. Since the two elements on the right side of (5) are

arbitrarily close in total variation distance as $R \to \infty$, we see that the two elements on the left side of (5) are equal in law. This implies the wanted stationarity result. □

3 Convergence of discrete contour function for $\kappa = 8$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. The main inputs to the proof are Theorem 3.1, a chordal version of Proposition 3.2, and Lemma 3.3, which are results proved by other authors in [LV16], [LSW04], and [BCK14], respectively.

First we define a metric ρ on the space of paths in $\mathbb{C}$. For $i = 1, 2$ let $I_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, and let $\gamma^i : I_i \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function, i.e., γ^i is a curve in $\mathbb{C}$. Then the distance $\rho(\gamma^1, \gamma^2)$ between γ^1 and γ^2 is given by

$$\rho(\gamma^1, \gamma^2) = \inf \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \min \left\{ 2^{-k}; \sup_{t \in I_1 \cap [-2^k, 2^k]} |\alpha(t) - t| + \sup_{t \in I_1 \cap [-2^k, 2^k]} |\gamma^1(t) - \gamma^2(\alpha(t))| \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where the infimum is over all increasing homeomorphisms $\alpha : I_1 \to I_2$. The following result will be proved in the upcoming paper [LV16].

Theorem 3.1 (Lawler-Viklund'16)**.** *There is a universal constant $\check{c} > 0$ such that for all $\epsilon > 0$ and simply connected domains D containing the origin, there exists a $\delta_0 \in (0, 1]$ satisfying the following. For each $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ consider a simple random walk on $\delta\mathbb{Z}^2$ started at 0 and run until hitting ∂D, and let $\underline{\eta}^\delta$ be the loop-erasure of the random walk. We view $\underline{\eta}^\delta$ as a continuous curve parametrized such that each edge is traversed in time $\check{c}\delta^{5/4}$. Let $\underline{\eta}$ be a radial SLE_2 in D towards 0, started from a point on ∂D sampled from harmonic measure, and let $\underline{\eta}$ be parametrized by 5/4-dimensional Minkowski content. This parametrization of $\underline{\eta}$ is well-defined, and there is a coupling of $\underline{\eta}^\delta$ and $\underline{\eta}$, such that*

$$\mathbb{P}[\rho(\underline{\eta}, \underline{\eta}^\delta) > \epsilon] < \epsilon.$$

In the remainder of the section we let η and η^δ for $\delta \in (0, 1]$ be as in the statement of Theorem 1.1, i.e., η is a whole-plane space-filling SLE_8 parametrized by Lebesgue measure, and η^δ is the peanocurve of a uniform spanning tree on $\delta\mathbb{Z}^2$. A chordal version of the following proposition was proved in [LSW04].

Proposition 3.2. *For any $\epsilon > 0$ we can find a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for any $\delta < \delta_0$ there is a coupling of η^δ and η satisfying $\mathbb{P}[\rho(\eta, \eta^\delta) > \epsilon] < \epsilon$.*

Proof. We will first argue joint convergence of the uniform spanning tree and its dual in the topology introduced by Schramm in [Sch00]. For any compact topological space X let $\mathcal{H}(X)$ be the set of compact subsets of X equipped with the Hausdorff topology. Letting $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the Riemann sphere, define the topological space $\mathcal{OS}$ by $\mathcal{OS} = \mathcal{H}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{H}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}))$. A spanning tree on $\delta\mathbb{Z}^2$ for some $\delta \in (0, 1]$ can be represented by an element $\mathcal{T}^\delta$ in $\mathcal{OS}$ by saying that $(a, b, K) \in \mathcal{T}^\delta$ iff K is a simple path from $a \in \delta\mathbb{Z}^2$ to $b \in \delta\mathbb{Z}^2$ in the spanning tree. Tightness of $\mathcal{T}^\delta$ is immediate since $\mathcal{OS}$ is compact.

For a UST on $\delta\mathbb{Z}^2$ and any finite collection of points $z_1, \ldots, z_k \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\mathcal{T}^\delta_{\mathbf{z}}$ for $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_k)$ be the element in $\mathcal{OS}$ corresponding to the branches in the tree connecting

$z_1, \dots, z_k$ (or the nearest lattice approximations of these points) to each other and to ∞. We define $\mathcal{T}^\delta_{\mathbf{z}}$ similarly if $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots)$ is countably infinite. An instance of a whole-plane space-filling SLE_8 η in $\mathbb{C}$ gives elements $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{z}}$ in $\mathcal{OS}$ by letting the branch or branches from each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ to ∞, be the left frontier of $\eta((-\infty, t])$ for each time t satisfying $\eta(t) = z$. For each fixed z there is a.s. only one such branch, and this branch is given by the flow line η^L_z defined in Section 2. For any $R > 1$, let $\mathcal{T}^R, \mathcal{T}^R_{\mathbf{z}}, \mathcal{T}^{R,\delta}, \mathcal{T}^{R,\delta}_{\mathbf{z}}$ be defined similarly, but for a chordal SLE_8 in $B_R(0)$ from $-Ri$ to Ri (in the continuum case) or a UST on $B_R(0) \cap (\delta\mathbb{Z}^2)$ with half wired and half free boundary conditions (in the discrete case). By [LSW04] we know that $\mathcal{T}^{R,\delta}_{\mathbf{z}}$ converges to $\mathcal{T}^R_{\mathbf{z}}$ in $\mathcal{OS}$.

By [Mas09, Corollary 4.5] the total variation distance between $\mathcal{T}^{R,\delta}_{z_1}$ and $\mathcal{T}^\delta_{z_1}$ for fixed $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ goes to zero as $R \to \infty$, uniformly in δ. By Wilson's algorithm [Wil96], we get further that the total variation distance between $\mathcal{T}^{R,\delta}_{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\mathcal{T}^\delta_{\mathbf{z}}$ for any finite tuple $\mathbf{z}$ goes to zero as $R \to \infty$, again uniformly in δ. The total variation distance between $\mathcal{T}^R_{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{z}}$ goes to zero as $R \to \infty$, since this property holds for the Gaussian free fields from which the chordal and whole-plane, respectively, SLE_8's were generated [MS13, Proposition 2.11]. We conclude that $\mathcal{T}^\delta_{\mathbf{z}}$ converges in law to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{z}}$ in $\mathcal{OS}$ for any finite tuple $\mathbf{z}$. By symmetry the same result holds for the dual, i.e., $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\delta_{\mathbf{z}}$ converges in law to $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathbf{z}}$.

Let $(\mathcal{T}', \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}')$ be some subsequential scaling limit of the pair $(\mathcal{T}^\delta, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\delta)$ in $\mathcal{OS} \times \mathcal{OS}$. We want to show that $(\mathcal{T}', \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}') \overset{d}{=} (\mathcal{T}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}})$. Let $\mathbf{z}$ be some enumeration of the rationals. By the convergence result for finite skeletons we see that $\mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{z}} \overset{d}{=} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}'_{\mathbf{z}} \overset{d}{=} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathbf{z}}$. By [Sch00, Theorem 10.7] the trunk of $\mathcal{T}'$ and the trunk of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}'$ are disjoint, so $\mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{z}}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}'_{\mathbf{z}}$) uniquely determines $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}'$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}'$), which implies that $(\mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{z}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}') \overset{d}{=} (\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{z}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}})$ and $(\mathcal{T}', \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}'_{\mathbf{z}}) \overset{d}{=} (\mathcal{T}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathbf{z}})$. This implies further that $(\mathcal{T}', \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}') \overset{d}{=} (\mathcal{T}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}})$.

Consider a coupling such that $(\mathcal{T}^\delta, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\delta)$ converges to $(\mathcal{T}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}})$ a.s. in $\mathcal{OS} \times \mathcal{OS}$. By the construction of space-filling SLE from imaginary geometry, the pair $(\mathcal{T}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}})$ uniquely determines a space-filling curve η with the law of a whole-plane space-filling SLE_κ. For any $\epsilon > 0$ consider the flow lines η^L_z and η^R_z for $z \in \epsilon\mathbb{Z}^2$. The complement of these flow lines is a collection of open domains which we call continuum pockets, such that each pocket is enclosed by flow lines $\eta^L_{z_1}, \eta^R_{z_1}, \eta^L_{z_2}, \eta^R_{z_2}$ for $z_1, z_2 \in \epsilon\mathbb{Z}^2$. Since the double points of SLE_8 have zero Lebesgue measure, for each fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and any $\epsilon_1 > 0$, there is a.s. a random $\delta_1 > 0$, such that for all $w \in B_{\delta_1}(z)$, the flow line η^L_w merges into η^L_z before leaving $B_{\epsilon_1}(w)$. This implies that for any $z_1, z_2 \in \epsilon\mathbb{Z}^2$, the Hausdorff distance between $\eta^{L,\delta}_{z_i}$ and $\eta^L_{z_i}$ restricted to any compact set, converges a.s. to zero, and the point at which $\eta^{L,\delta}_{z_1}$ and $\eta^{L,\delta}_{z_2}$ merge, converges a.s. to the point at which $\eta^L_{z_1}$ and $\eta^L_{z_2}$ merge. It follows that a continuum pocket enclosed by flow lines $\eta^L_{z_1}, \eta^R_{z_1}, \eta^L_{z_2}, \eta^R_{z_2}$ for $z_1, z_2 \in \epsilon\mathbb{Z}^2$, is a.s. the limit for the Hausdorff distance of a discrete pocket enclosed by the corresponding discrete flow lines. The peano curves η^δ and η visit the pockets in an order corresponding to tracing the interface of the primal tree and the dual tree, and the order in which the pockets are visited, converges a.s. as $\delta \to 0$, if we only consider the pockets restricted to some compact set. Therefore, for any fixed $T > 0$ and with p_ϵ being the maximal diameter of the continuum pockets visited by η during $[-T, T]$, we have $|\eta(t) - \eta^\delta(t)| < 10p_\epsilon$ a.s. for all sufficiently small δ and all $t \in [-T, T]$. Since $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} p_\epsilon = 0$, we see upon decreasing ϵ that $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \rho(\eta, \eta^\delta) = 0$.

□

We recall the following result from [BCK14]. For any $\delta \in (0, 1]$ and a set of edges A of

the square grid $\delta\mathbb{Z}^2$, we define $\mathfrak{m}^\delta(A) := |A|\check{c}\delta^{5/4}$, with $\check{c}$ as in Theorem 3.1 and $|A|$ denoting the number of elements in A. For $\delta \in (0,1]$, a UST on $\delta\mathbb{Z}^2$, and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\eta_z^{L,\delta}$ be the path in the UST from the nearest lattice approximation of z to ∞.

Lemma 3.3 (Proposition 2.8, [BCK14]). *There exist universal constants $c_1, c_2, c_3, \lambda_0 > 0$ such that the following is true for any $\delta \in (0,1]$. Given $r \geq \delta$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, let $R = re^{c_1\lambda^{1/2}}$. Let $A(r,\lambda)$ be the event that for all $x, y \in B_R(0) \cap (\delta\mathbb{Z}^2)$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(\eta_x^{L,\delta}\Delta\eta_y^{L,\delta}) \leq r$, we have $\mathfrak{m}^\delta(\eta_x^{L,\delta}\Delta\eta_y^{L,\delta}) \leq \lambda r^{5/4}$, where Δ denotes symmetric difference. For every $r \geq \delta$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ we have $\mathbb{P}[A(r,\lambda)^c] \leq c_3 \exp\{-c_2\lambda^{1/2}\}$.*

Next we give a more formal definition of the function $\mathfrak{Z} = (\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{R})$ defined in the introduction, and we prove tightness of the rescaled version Z^δ of $\mathfrak{Z}$. Recall that $\mathfrak{T}$ is a uniform spanning tree on $\mathbb{Z}^2$, and that $\mathfrak{T}'$ is its dual tree. The peanocurve $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a discrete path in $\mathbb{C}$ which traces the interface of $\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathfrak{T}'$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the point λ_n is contained in the line segment between points $(k_n, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $(k_n', m_n') \in (\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})^2$ satisfying $|k_n - k_n'| = |m_n - m_n'| = \frac{1}{2}$. Let $(\widehat{k}_n, \widehat{m}_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be the first point on the path from (k_n, m_n) to ∞ in $\mathfrak{T}$ which is also on the path from $(0,0)$ to ∞. Let $\mathfrak{L}_n$ be the graph distance from (k_n, m_n) to $(\widehat{k}_n, \widehat{m}_n)$, minus the graph distance from $(0,0)$ to $(\widehat{k}_n, \widehat{m}_n)$. We define $\mathfrak{R}_n$ similarly by considering $\mathfrak{T}'$ instead of $\mathfrak{T}$.

Proposition 3.4. *The contour functions Z^δ for $\delta \in (0,1]$ are tight for the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.*

Proof. By scale invariance, it is sufficient to show that $Z^\delta|_{[0,1]}$ is tight, and by symmetry in L^δ and R^δ it is sufficient to prove that L^δ is tight. For any $\delta > 0$, let $w^\delta : [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$ be the minimal increasing modulus of continuity of $L^\delta|_{[0,1]}$, i.e., it is the minimal increasing function such that for any $t, s \in [0,1]$ we have

$$|L_t^\delta - L_s^\delta| \leq w^\delta(|t-s|).$$

By [Pro56, Lemma 2.1] and since $L_0^\delta = 0$ for all $\delta \in (0,1)$, tightness of $L^\delta|_{[0,1]}$ follows if we can prove that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\rho > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}[w^\delta(\rho) > \varepsilon] < \varepsilon$ for all $\delta \in (0,1]$. Since L^δ is Lipschitz continuous with constant $\check{c}^{-1}\delta^{-5/8}$, it is sufficient to show that this holds for small δ, i.e., it is sufficient to show that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\rho, \delta_0 > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}[w^\delta(\rho) > \varepsilon] < \varepsilon$ for all $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$. Choose $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently large and $r > 0$ sufficiently small such that, in the notation of Lemma 3.3 and for all sufficiently small $\delta \in (0,1]$, we have $\lambda r^{5/4} < \epsilon$, $\mathbb{P}[\operatorname{diam}(\eta([0,1])) > R/2] < \epsilon/4$ and $\mathbb{P}[A(r,\lambda)^c] < \epsilon/3$. By Proposition 3.2, there exists a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for $\delta < \delta_0$ we have $\mathbb{P}[\operatorname{diam}(\eta^\delta([0,1])) > R] < \epsilon/3$. Since η is continuous a.s., we may choose $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{s,t\in[0,1], 0<t-s<2\rho} \operatorname{diam}\eta([s,t]) > r/2\right] < \epsilon/4.$$

Applying Proposition 3.2 again and decreasing $\delta_0 > 0$ if necessary, the following holds for any $\delta < \delta_0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{s,t\in[0,1], 0<t-s<\rho} \operatorname{diam}\eta^\delta([s,t]) > r\right] < \epsilon/3.$$

Combining the above results, we have shown that with probability at least $1-\epsilon$, the following event occurs

$$\{\operatorname{diam}(\eta^\delta([0,1])) \le R\} \cap A(r,\lambda) \cap \left\{ \sup_{s,t\in[0,1], 0<t-s<\rho} \operatorname{diam} \eta^\delta([s,t]) \le r \right\}. \tag{7}$$

On the event (7), for all $s,t \in [0,1]$ such that $0 < t-s < \rho$, we have $\operatorname{diam}(\eta^\delta([s,t])) \le r$, which implies by occurrence of $A(r,\lambda)$ that $\mathfrak{m}^\delta(\eta^{L,\delta}_{\eta(t)} \Delta \eta^{L,\delta}_{\eta(s)}) \le \lambda r^{5/4} < \epsilon$. Therefore $w^\delta(\rho) < \epsilon$, and the lemma follows. □

Proposition 3.5. *The pair (η^δ, Z^δ) converges weakly to (η, Z).*

Proof. By Propositions 3.2 and 3.4, the pair (η^δ, Z^δ) converges subsequentially in law to some limiting random variable $(\eta, \widetilde{Z})$, where η has the law of an SLE_8, and $\widetilde{Z} = (\widetilde{L}, \widetilde{R})$ is continuous. Considering a coupling for different δ where this subsequential convergence holds a.s., we need to prove that $\widetilde{Z} = Z$ a.s., where Z is as in the statement of the proposition. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ let $\tau(z) := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \eta(t) = z\}$ be the first time at which η hits z. By Theorem 3.1 and the convergence of the finite skeletons $\mathcal{T}^\delta_{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\delta_{\mathbf{z}}$ established in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we see that $(\eta^\delta, L^\delta_{\tau(z)})$ converges in distribution to $(\eta, L_{\tau(z)})$ for any fixed z. Since $\widetilde{L}$ is a.s. continuous and $\{\tau(z) : z \in \mathbb{Q}^2\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$, we see that $\widetilde{L} = L$ a.s. We have $\widetilde{R} = R$ a.s. by a similar argument, which completes the proof.

□

4 Existence and properties of the contour functions

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.3, which says that the contour functions $Z = (L, R)$ for all $\kappa > 4$ are well-defined and satisfy certain basic properties.

We will prove that Z is well-defined as a continuous function by using the Kolmogorov-Chentsov theorem, and we therefore need a moment bound for the increments of Z. We will obtain a moment bound by drawing the space-filling SLE_κ η on top of a $4/\sqrt{\kappa}$-LQG surface, and using that the Minkowski content of the SLE frontier is given by the expected quantum length of the frontier, up to multiplication by a function depending on local properties of the field.

Let $\underline{\kappa} \in (0,4)$, $\gamma = \sqrt{\underline{\kappa}}$ and $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, and let h be some GFF-like field on D. Recall from the introduction that Liouville quantum gravity (LQG) with parameter γ is a random surface associated with h. In particular, the field h induces a random area measure μ_h on D which may be written heuristically in the form $e^{\gamma h}\, dz$, where dz is Lebesgue measure [DS11, RV14].

The field h associated with a γ-LQG surface also induces a length measure along certain curves, e.g. along ∂D or $\mathrm{SLE}_{\underline{\kappa}}$ curves in D. For an $\mathrm{SLE}_{\underline{\kappa}}$ or $\mathrm{SLE}_{\underline{\kappa}}(\underline{\rho})$ curve $\underline{\eta}$ in D there are two natural ways to define such a γ-LQG length measure. The first approach is to define a measure ν_h on $\underline{\eta}$ by considering the quantum boundary length measure as defined in e.g. [DS11, She10]. We consider a conformal map $\psi : U \to \mathbb{H}$, where U is some domain on one "side" of $\underline{\eta}$, such that ψ straightens $\underline{\eta}$. Consider the γ-LQG boundary measure on $\mathbb{R}$ which we get when applying the coordinate change formula for quantum surfaces to h and ψ. Let ν_h be the pullback under ψ of this quantum measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Note that we may view ν_h as a measure on $\mathbb{C}$ supported on $\underline{\eta}$.

The second approach is to define a γ-LQG measure σ_h with (roughly speaking) the Minkowski content of $\underline{\eta}$ as base measure. Recalling that $\mathfrak{m}$ denotes $(1+\underline{\kappa}/8)$-dimensional Minkowski content and considering some arbitrary strictly monotone parametrization $\underline{\eta} : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$ of $\underline{\eta}$ satisfying $\underline{\eta}(0) = 0$, we first define the measure $\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{\eta}}$ on $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{\eta}}(U) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mathfrak{m}(U \cap \underline{\eta}([\epsilon, \infty))). \tag{8}$$

We define the measure $\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{\eta}}$ as a limit, rather than considering $\mathfrak{m}(U \cap \underline{\eta}([0,\infty)))$, since we do not know that the Minkowski content of $\underline{\eta}$ is well-defined near the origin[2]. For $z \in D$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(z) \subset D$, we let $h_\epsilon(z)$ denote the average of h around the circle $\partial B_\epsilon(z)$, see [DS11]. The measure σ_h is defined by the following limit for any open set U

$$\sigma_h(U) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_U e^{\gamma h_\epsilon(z)/2} \epsilon^{\gamma^2/8} \, d\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{\eta}}(z). \tag{9}$$

When h is a centered Gaussian field, the convergence holds in L^1 for any bounded Borel set U. See [Ber15] and the upcoming paper [Ben16a] for further details about σ_h. It will be proved in the latter of these papers (see the notes [Ben16b] for a temporary version) that the two measures ν_h and σ_h agree a.s. in one particular setting.

Theorem 4.1 ([Ben16a])**.** *Let h be a free boundary GFF plus $\frac{2}{\gamma}\log|z|$ in $\mathbb{H}$, with some arbitrary choice of additive constant, and let $\underline{\eta}$ be an independent $SLE_{\underline{\kappa}}$ in $\mathbb{H}$ from 0 to ∞. Let the measures ν_h and σ_h be as above. There is a universal constant $c > 0$ such that $\sigma_h = c\nu_h$ a.s.*

From this theorem we deduce the following result.

Lemma 4.2. *Let h be a whole-plane GFF such that the average of the field over the unit circle is zero. Let $\underline{\eta}$ be an independent whole-plane $SLE_{\underline{\kappa}}(2-\underline{\kappa})$, and let σ_h and ν_h be as above. Then $\sigma_h = c\nu_h$ a.s. Furthermore, for any $a > 0$ there is a $C > 0$ such that if μ_h is the γ-LQG area measure associated with h and $U \subset \mathbb{D}$, then we have $\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{\eta}}(U) \le C\mathbb{E}[\sigma_h(U)\mathbf{1}_{\mu_h(\mathbb{D})<a} \,|\, \underline{\eta}]$.*

Proof. Both the measures ν_h and σ_h are defined locally, in the sense that for an arbitrary monotone parametrization of $\underline{\eta}$ and any interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, the measure of $\underline{\eta}(I)$ depends only on $\underline{\eta}(I)$ and on h restricted to some neighborhood of $\underline{\eta}(I)$. Bounded away from $\partial\mathbb{D}$, 0 and ∞, the field h is absolutely continuous with respect to translations of the field considered in Theorem 4.1, and $\underline{\eta}$ is locally absolutely continuous with respect to the curve considered in Theorem 4.1, in the sense that any interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ bounded away from 0 and ∞ can be written as a finite union of (random) intervals I_i, such that $\underline{\eta}|_{I_i}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to a segment of the curve considered in Theorem 4.1. This implies that $\sigma_h = c\nu_h$ a.s., where c is as in Theorem 4.1.

[2] The results in this section will imply that $\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{\eta}}(U)$ is well-defined and finite for bounded U, even for sets U containing the origin. However, since the Minkowski content is generally not a measure, we cannot use this property to deduce that the curve $\underline{\eta}$ has well-defined Minkowski content in neighborhoods of 0. We remark that the natural parametrization of whole-plane or radial SLE with one end-point at the origin is defined by considering the *limit* of the Minkowski content as we approach the origin, rather than the Minkowski content of the full curve; this definition is used both in this paper and in [LV16, BDW15]

By (9), for any $U \subset \mathbb{D}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\sigma_h(U)\mathbf{1}_{\mu_h(\mathbb{D})<a} \,|\, \underline{\eta}] = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_U e^{\gamma h_\epsilon(z)/2}\epsilon^{\gamma^2/8}\, d\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{\eta}}(z)\mathbf{1}_{\mu_h(\mathbb{D})<a} \,|\, \underline{\eta}\right].$$

By independence of h and $\underline{\eta}$, in order to conclude the proof, it is therefore sufficient to prove the existence of constants $c_1, \epsilon_0 > 0$, such that for any $z \in U$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\gamma h_\epsilon(z)/2}\epsilon^{\gamma^2/8}\mathbf{1}_{\mu_h(\mathbb{D})<a}\right] \geq c_1. \tag{10}$$

For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ let $h^z := h(\cdot + z) - h_1(z)$, and observe that $h^z \overset{d}{=} h$. For any field $\overline{h}$ and for fixed $c_2, c_3 > 0$ let $E(\overline{h})$ be the event that $\mu_{\overline{h}}(B_{10}(0)) \leq c_2$, and that $e^{\gamma\overline{h}_1(w)} \in [c_3^{-1}, c_3]$ for all $w \in B_{10}(0)$. Choose c_2, c_3 such that $c_2c_3 < a$ and $\mathbb{P}[E(h)] > 0$, and observe that appropriate constants exist since $\mu_h(B_{10}(0)) > 0$ a.s., and $h_1(w)$ is a.s. continuous in w. For any $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\epsilon > 1$, since $h = (h^{z-w})^{w-z}$ implies that $h_\epsilon(z) = h_\epsilon^{z-w}(w) - h_1^{z-w}(-z+w)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{8}}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}h_\epsilon(z)}\mathbf{1}_{\mu_h(\mathbb{D})\leq a}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}h_1^{z-w}(-z+w)}\cdot\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{8}}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}h_\epsilon^{z-w}(w)}\mathbf{1}_{e^{-\gamma h_1^{z-w}(-z+w)}\mu_{h^{z-w}}(B_1(-z+w))\leq a}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}h_1(-z+w)}\cdot\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{8}}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}h_\epsilon(w)}\mathbf{1}_{e^{-\gamma h_1(-z+w)}\mu_h(B_1(-z+w))\leq a}\right] \\
&\geq \mathbb{E}\left[c_3^{-1}\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{8}}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}h_\epsilon(w)}\mathbf{1}_{E(h)}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Let $\widetilde{\mu}_h$ be the $\gamma/2$-LQG area measure associated with h. Since the regularized measures $\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{8}}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}h_\epsilon(w)}\,dw$ converge to $\widetilde{\mu}_h$ in L^1,

$$\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{\mu}_h(\mathbb{D})\mathbf{1}_{E(h)}] = \lim_{\epsilon\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{D}}\mathbb{E}\left[\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{8}}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}h_\epsilon(w)}\mathbf{1}_{E(h)}\right]dw.$$

Since $\widetilde{\mu}_h(\mathbb{D}) > 0$ a.s., there are $\epsilon_0, c_4 > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ we can find a $w \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\mathbb{E}\big[\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{8}}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}h_\epsilon(w)}\mathbf{1}_{E(h)}\big] > c_4$. For such ϵ and w we get by insertion into (11) that $\mathbb{E}\big[\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{8}}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}h_\epsilon(z)}\mathbf{1}_{\mu_h(\mathbb{D})\leq a}\big] \geq c_3^{-1}c_4$, so (10) holds with $c_1 = c_3^{-1}c_4$. □

In the next few paragraphs we let $\kappa > 4$, and we let η be a whole-plane space-filling SLE_κ from ∞ to ∞. We will prove existence of the boundary length process Z at a fixed time, and prove a moment bound for Z. By symmetry in L and R, it is sufficient to consider L. Recalling that L describes the evolution of the length of the left frontier of η, we see that for any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and some arbitrary parametrization of $\eta^L_{\eta(t)}$ and η^L_0, a.s.

$$L_t = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\Big(\mathfrak{m}\big(\eta^L_{\eta(t)}((\epsilon,\infty)) \setminus \eta^L_0\big) - \mathfrak{m}\big(\eta^L_0((\epsilon,\infty)) \setminus \eta^L_{\eta(t)}\big)\Big). \tag{12}$$

Footnote 2 explains why we define L as a limit, rather than considering the Minkowski content of the full frontier.

Lemma 4.3. *The random variable L_1 defined by* (12) *is well-defined a.s., and $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t\in[0,1]} L_t^N] < \infty$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Existence of right side of (12) before we take the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ follows by existence of the Minkowski content of chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_{16/\kappa}$ and local absolute continuity.

A γ-quantum cone is a particular kind of γ-LQG surface, which may be constructed by sampling a point from the γ-LQG area measure of some γ-LQG surface, and zooming in near the sampled point. See [DMS14] for the formal definition and basic properties of a γ-quantum cone. Let h be the field associated with a γ-quantum cone on $\mathbb{C}$, embedded such that the average of h about $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is equal to zero. Let $\widetilde{Z} = (\widetilde{L}_t, \widetilde{R}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ describe the evolution of the quantum boundary length of η, corresponding to a time parameterization of η by quantum area. By [DMS14], $\widetilde{Z}$ has the law of a two-dimensional correlated Brownian motion. We may couple h with a whole-plane GFF $\widetilde{h}$ with unit circle average 0, such that $h|_{\mathbb{D}} = (\widetilde{h} - \gamma \log|\cdot|)|_{\mathbb{D}}$.

For $r_2 > r_1 > 0$ let $A(r_1, r_2) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_1 < |z| < r_2\}$. Define $T_1 := \inf\{t \geq 0 : \eta(t) = 3/4\}$ and $T_2 := \inf\{t \geq T_1 : \eta(t) \notin B_{1/4}(3/4)\}$. Let $\tau_r = \inf\{t : |\eta(t)| = r\}$ for $r > 0$. We now show that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,\tau_{1/4}]} |L_t| > M\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[T_1,T_2]} |L_t - L_{T_1}| > M\right] \text{ decays super-polynomially.} \tag{13}$$

For fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let $\sigma_{h;t}$ denote the measure σ_h defined by (9) with $\mathfrak{m}_{\eta^L_{\eta(t)}}$ as base measure. By Lemma 4.3, there is a $C > 0$ such that for any $U \subset A(1/2, 1)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (both chosen in a way measurable with respect to η),

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\eta^L_0}(U) \leq C\mathbb{E}[\sigma_{h;0}(U)\mathbf{1}_{\mu_h(A(1/2,1))<1} \,|\, \eta], \qquad \mathfrak{m}_{\eta^L_{\eta(t)}}(U) \leq C\mathbb{E}[\sigma_{h;t}(U)\mathbf{1}_{\mu_h(A(1/2,1))<1} \,|\, \eta],$$

so with $\mathfrak{t}(t) := \mathrm{sign}(t) \cdot \mu_h\big(\eta([0 \wedge t, 0 \vee t])\big)$,

$$\sup_{t\in[T_1,T_2]} |L_t - L_{T_1}| \leq 2C\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s,t\in[T_1,T_2]} |\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{t}(t)} - \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{t}(s)}|\mathbf{1}_{\mu_h(A(1/2,1))<1} \;\middle|\; \eta\right]. \tag{14}$$

By an application of Chebyshev's inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[T_1,T_2]} |L_t - L_{T_1}| > M\right] &\leq \left(\frac{C}{M}\right)^N \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s,t\in[T_1,T_2]} |\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{t}(s)} - \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{t}(t)}|\mathbf{1}_{\mu_h(A(1/2,1))<1} \;\middle|\; \eta\right]^N\right] \\
&\preceq M^{-N}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\substack{t\,\in\,[0,\,\mu_h(\eta([0,T_2]))],\\ s\,\in\,[t,t+1]}} |\widetilde{L}_s - \widetilde{L}_t|^N\right] \\
&\preceq M^{-N}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{\substack{t\,\in\,[0,\,\mu_h(\eta([0,T_2]))],\\ s\,\in\,[t,t+1]}} |\widetilde{L}_s - \widetilde{L}_t| > a\right] a^{N-1}\,da \\
&\leq M^{-N}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathbb{P}\left[\mu_h(\eta([0,T_2])) > a^{K_1}\right] a^{N-1} + \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{\substack{t\,\in\,[0,\,a^{K_1}],\\ s\,\in\,[t,t+1]}} |\widetilde{L}_s - \widetilde{L}_s| > a\right] a^{N-1}\,da,
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where the implicit constant depends on N and γ. We consider each term in the integrand on the right side separately. For any $K_2 > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mu_h(\eta([0,T_2])) > a^{K_1}\right] \leq \mathbb{P}[\eta([0,T_2]) \not\subset B_{a^{K_2}}(0)] + \mathbb{P}[\mu_h(B_{a^{K_2}}(0)) > a^{K_1}].$$

By Proposition 6.2 first term on the right side is $\preceq a^{-(N+10)}$ for sufficiently large K_2. By conformal invariance of the GFF and since there exists $p > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[\mu_h(\mathbb{D})^p] < \infty$ (see the argument of [DKRV16, Lemma A.1] for a proof), the second term on the right side is $\preceq a^{-(N+10)}$ if we choose K_1 sufficiently large after fixing K_2. By a union bound and the Markov property of Brownian motion,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,a^{K_1}],s\in[t,t+1]} |\widetilde{L}_s - \widetilde{L}_s| > a\right] \preceq a^{K_1}\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,1],s\in[t,t+1]} |\widetilde{L}_s - \widetilde{L}_s| > a\right],$$

which is $\preceq a^{-(N+10)}$ by tail estimates for Brownian motion. Inserting these estimates into (15), we get

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[T_1,T_2]} |L_t - L_{T_1}| > M\right] \preceq M^{-N}, \tag{16}$$

where the implicit constant depends on N and κ. Equation (16) combined with translation invariance of η concludes (13).

By a union bound,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |L_t| > M\right] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[\operatorname{diam}\eta([0,1]) > M^{0.01}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,\tau_{M^{0.01}}]} |L_t| > M\right]. \tag{17}$$

By [GHM15, Lemma 3.3], the first term on the right side decays faster than any power of M. By (13), along with scale invariance of space-filling SLE, the second term on the right side decays faster than any power of M. It follows that $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t\in[0,1]} L_t^N] < \infty$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Theorem 1.3, (i) and (ii). By scale invariance and translation invariance of SLE and of the Minkowski content, and by Lemma 4.3, we have $\mathbb{E}[|L_t - L_s|^N] \preceq |t-s|^{N(1/2+1/\kappa)}$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, where the implicit constant depends on κ and N. The same result holds for R instead of L. A quantitative version of the Kolmogorov-Chentsov theorem (see e.g. [MS16b, Proposition 2.3]) now implies that there is a function Z satisfying (i) and the scaling result of (ii), such that for any given $t \in \mathbb{R}$, L_t is given by (12) a.s., and that the same result holds with R instead of L. The stationary and tail triviality results of (ii) follow from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. The result (2) follows from scale invariance and tail triviality. □

The lower bound for the Minkowski content of a whole-plane SLE_2 in the following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.3 (iii). A similar super-polynomial lower bound for other $\underline{\kappa} < 4$, which we expect to hold, would imply that Theorem 1.3 (iii) also holds for $\kappa \neq 8$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\underline{\eta}$ be a whole-plane SLE_2 from 0 to ∞ in $\mathbb{C}$ with some arbitrary strictly monotone parametrization, and define $\tau := \inf\{t \geq 0 : \underline{\eta}(t) \notin \mathbb{D}\}$. There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $M > 0$, and with $\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{\eta}}$ defined by (8),*

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{\eta}}(\underline{\eta}([0,\tau])) > M] < 2\exp(-cM). \tag{18}$$

For any $\alpha < 4/5$ there are constants $C, c' > 0$ such that for all $M > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{\eta}}(\underline{\eta}([0,\tau])) < M^{-1}] < C\exp(-c'M^{\alpha}). \tag{19}$$

Proof. We will only give a proof of (19), since (18) is proved in the exact same way. For $\delta \in (0,1]$ let $\underline{\eta}^{\delta}$ be a LERW on $\delta\mathbb{Z}^2$ from 0 to ∞. Fix $\alpha < 4/5$, and define $\tau^{\delta} := \inf\{t \geq 0 : \underline{\eta}^{\delta}(t) \notin B_{1/2}(0)\}$. By [BM10] there are constants $C, c' > 0$ such that for all $\delta \in (0,1]$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathfrak{m}^{\delta}\left(\underline{\eta}^{\delta}([0,\tau^{\delta}])\right) < 2M^{-1}\right] < \frac{1}{2}C\exp(-c'M^{\alpha}),$$

where $\mathfrak{m}^{\delta}$ is as defined above the statement of Lemma 3.3. By Proposition 3.2, given any $M > 2$, there is a $\delta_M > 0$, such that for any $\delta < \delta_M$ there is a coupling of $\underline{\eta}^{\delta}$ and $\underline{\eta}$ satisfying

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\rho(\underline{\eta}^{\delta}, \underline{\eta}) > \frac{1}{10M}\right] < \frac{1}{2}C\exp(-c'M^{\alpha}).$$

To conclude the proof of (19), it is therefore sufficient to show that

$$\{\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{\eta}}(\underline{\eta}([0,\tau])) < M^{-1}\} \subset \left\{\mathfrak{m}^{\delta}(\underline{\eta}^{\delta}([0,\tau^{\delta}])) < 2M^{-1}\right\} \cup \left\{\rho(\underline{\eta}^{\delta}, \underline{\eta}) > \frac{1}{10M}\right\}.$$

We will prove this result by contradiction, and we assume the event on the left side occurs, but neither of the two events on the right side. Choose a homeomorphism $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that the right side of (6) differs from $\rho(\underline{\eta}^{\delta}, \underline{\eta})$ by less than $\frac{1}{10M}$. We obtain a contradiction by observing that $|\alpha(t) - t|$ (resp. $|\underline{\eta}^{\delta}(t) - \underline{\eta}(\alpha(t))|$) is larger than $\frac{1}{5M}$ for $t = \alpha^{-1}(\tau)$ if $\alpha^{-1}(\tau) > 2M^{-1}$ (resp. $\alpha^{-1}(\tau) \leq 2M^{-1}$). □

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (iii). We want to show that $s \in \sigma(\widetilde{Z})$, i.e., the function s is measurable with respect to $\widetilde{Z}$. Since $\{t \in \mathbb{R} : Z_t = 0\} = \{0\}$, we know that $s^{-1}(0) \in \sigma(\widetilde{Z})$. We may therefore assume, upon recentering $\widetilde{Z}$, that $\widetilde{Z}_0 = 0$, and we will make this assumption in the remainder of the proof. Since s is continuous, to show that $s \in \sigma(\widetilde{Z})$ it is sufficient to show that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\eta}([t \wedge 0, t \vee 0])) \in \sigma(\widetilde{Z})$, where $\widetilde{\eta}(t) := \eta(s(t))$ is the reparameterized SLE curve and $\mathcal{L}$ is Lebesgue measure. By symmetry in law of the curve under time-reversal, it is sufficient to consider the case when $t > 0$.

Let U be a uniform random variable with value in $[0,1]$ which is independent of η. For any $M \geq 1$ define stopping times $\widetilde{T}_n(M)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ as follows

$$\widetilde{T}_0(M) = \inf\{t > 0 : M\widetilde{L}_t \in \{U-1, U\}\}, \quad \widetilde{T}_n(M) = \inf\{t > \widetilde{T}_{n-1} : M|\widetilde{L}_t - \widetilde{L}_{\widetilde{T}_{n-1}}| \geq 1\}.$$

We define $\widetilde{T}_n = \widetilde{T}_n(1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We will argue that to conclude the proof of the proposition, it is sufficient to show that

$$\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\eta}([0, \widetilde{T}_n]))/n \to C \qquad \text{in probability as } n \to \infty \tag{20}$$

for some deterministic constant C. Assume $\widetilde{T}_n$ satisfies (20), and choose a sequence $(\epsilon_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging slowly to zero, such that if $p(n) = \sup_{k\geq n}\mathbb{P}[|\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\eta}([0,\widetilde{T}_k]))/k - C| > \epsilon_k]$ then

$\lim_{n\to\infty} p(n) = 0$. Consider an increasing sequence $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(n_k) < \infty$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $M_k \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest (random) natural number such that $\widetilde{T}_{n_k}(M_k) < t$. Let $\widetilde{n}_k = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \widetilde{T}_n(M_k) < t\}$. By scale invariance of SLE, $\mathbb{P}[|\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\eta}([0, \widetilde{T}_{\widetilde{n}_k}(M_k)]))\widetilde{n}_k^{-1} M_k^{8/5} - C| > \epsilon_k] \le p(\widetilde{n}_k)$, and the same property holds with $\widetilde{n}_k$ replaced by $\widetilde{n}_k + 1$. It follows by the Borel-Cantelli lemma that $\widetilde{n}_k C M_k^{-8/5}$ converges a.s. to $\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\eta}([0,t]))$ as $k \to \infty$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\eta}([0,t])) \in \sigma(Z)$. We conclude that the proposition follows once we have proved (20).

Define the following stopping times T_n for Z

$$T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 : |L_t - U| \notin [0,1]\}, \quad T_n = \inf\{t > T_{n-1} : |L_t - L_{T_{n-1}}| \ge 1\}. \tag{21}$$

Observe that $\widetilde{T}_n = s(T_n)$, which implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{L}(\widetilde{\eta}([0, \widetilde{T}_n]))/n \to C$ a.s. if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} T_n/n \to C$ a.s., so in order to complete the proof of the proposition it is sufficient to prove the latter result. By the Birkhoff ergodic theorem this will follow if we can establish the following two results, where $S_n := T_n - T_{n-1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$: (i) $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is stationary and ergodic, and (ii) $\mathbb{E}[S_1] < \infty$.

First we will establish (i). The measure on $\mathbb{R}$ having unit point masses at T_n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (with T_n for $n < 0$ defined such that the formula (21) for T_n holds also for $n \le 0$) has a translation invariant law since η has stationary increments (Lemma 2.3). This implies stationarity of $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Ergodicity of $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ follows from Lemma 2.2 and transience of η.

Finally, we will prove (ii). It is sufficient to show that $\mathbb{P}[T_2 > 2M]$ decays super-polynomially in M. By a union bound,

$$\mathbb{P}[T_2 > 2M] \le \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,M]} |L_t| < 1\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[M,2M]} |L_t - L_M| < 1\right].$$

The two terms on the right side are equal, so we will only bound the first term. For each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ let η_z^L be the left frontier of η upon hitting z, equipped with the natural parametrization. Defining $A_M := \mathbb{Z}^2 \cap [M, M]^2$ for any $M > 0$ and $\tau_z := \inf\{t \ge 0 : \eta_z^L(t) \notin B_{M^{0.01}}(z)\}$, a union bound gives

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,M]} |L_t| < 1\right] \le \mathbb{P}[B_{M^{0.01}}(z) \not\subset \eta([0,M]),\ \forall z \in A_M] + \sum_{z\in A_M} \mathbb{P}[\tau_z < 1].$$

The first term on the right side decays super-polynomially in M by [GHM15, Lemma 3.3]. The second term on the right side is decays super-polynomially in M by Lemma 4.4. It follows that $\mathbb{P}[T_2 > 2M]$ decays super-polynomially in M, so (ii) holds. □

5 The SLE is measurable with respect to the pair of contour functions

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5. For $\kappa > 4$ let η be a whole-plane space-filling SLE_κ on $\mathbb{C}$ parametrized by Lebesgue measure such that $\eta(0) = 0$. Recall the definition of the pair of contour functions $Z = (L, R)$ in the introduction. Conditioned on Z, independently sample two SLE curves η and $\widetilde{\eta}$ according to the conditional distribution of η given Z. Notice that this conditional distribution is well-defined by [Dur10, Theorem 5.1.9],

since η is a random variable with values in the space of continuous curves equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets, which is a standard Borel space. Define $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\phi(\eta(t)) = \widetilde{\eta}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$; we will prove below that ϕ is well-defined. In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.4 it is sufficient to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Almost surely ϕ is a rotation about the origin.*

Lemma 5.2. *For any $\kappa > 4$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds a.s. The set of $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\eta(t_1) = \eta(t_2)$ is exactly the set of $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ for which there exists $n \leq N$ and $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $s_1 = t_1$, $s_n = t_2$, and for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ we have either*

$$R_{s_i} = R_{s_{i+1}} = \inf_{s_i \leq t \leq s_{i+1}} R_t \qquad \text{or} \qquad L_{s_i} = L_{s_{i+1}} = \inf_{s_i \leq t \leq s_{i+1}} L_t. \tag{22}$$

Proof. Let μ be the measure in $\mathbb{C}$ associated with a $4/\sqrt{\kappa}$-quantum cone independent of η, and let $\widehat{Z} = (\widehat{L}_t, \widehat{R}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ describe the evolution of the quantum length of the left and right, respectively, frontier of η, when we parametrize η by quantum area. By [DMS14], $\widehat{Z}$ has the law of a two-dimensional correlated Brownian motion. Since μ assigns a positive measure to each open set and has no point masses, there is a continuous strictly increasing bijective function $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\mu(\eta([t \wedge 0, t \vee 0])) = |\alpha(t)|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By the peanosphere construction in [DMS14], and since for any $\kappa > 4$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that no points of a space-filling SLE_κ has multiplicity larger than N (see e.g. [GHM15, Theorem 6.3]), we know that the lemma holds if we parametrize η by quantum area and consider $\widehat{Z}$ instead of Z. In other words, defining $\widehat{\eta}(t) = \eta(\alpha^{-1}(t))$, the set of $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\widehat{\eta}(t_1) = \widehat{\eta}(t_2)$, is exactly the set of $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ for which there exists $n \leq N$ and $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $s_1 = t_1$, $s_n = t_2$, and for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ one of the conditions (22) is satisfied. By this result and symmetry in L and R, in order to conclude the proof of the lemma, it is sufficient to show that a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,:\, L_{t_1} = L_{t_2} = \inf_{t_1 \leq t \leq t_2} L_t \right\} \\ &\qquad = \left\{ (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,:\, \widehat{L}_{\alpha(t_1)} = \widehat{L}_{\alpha(t_2)} = \inf_{\alpha(t_1) \leq t \leq \alpha(t_2)} \widehat{L}_t \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Let $q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Almost surely, for any $t_1 < q$ such that $\eta(t_1)$ is not contained in the left frontier of η at time q, we have $L_{t_1} > \inf_{t \in [t_1, q]} L_t$. Therefore, a.s., for any $t_1 < q$ such that $L_{t_1} = \inf_{t \in [t_1, q]} L_t$, the left frontier of η at time t_1 is contained in the left frontier of η at time q. It follows that a.s. for any (t_1, t_2) contained in the set on the left side of (23), the left frontier of η at time t_1 is contained in the left frontier of η at any rational time in $[t_1, t_2]$. This implies that $\widehat{L}_{\alpha(t_1)} = \inf_{\alpha(t_1) \leq t \leq \alpha(t_2)} \widehat{L}_t$. Since the time-reversal of (R, L) and $(\widehat{R}, \widehat{L})$ describe the evolution of the boundary lengths for the time-reversal of η, it follows that a.s. for any (t_1, t_2) contained in the set on the left side of (23), we also have $\widehat{L}_{\alpha(t_2)} = \inf_{\alpha(t_1) \leq t \leq \alpha(t_2)} \widehat{L}_t$. This proves that the set on the left side of (23) is a.s. contained in the set on the right side of (23). Proving that the set on the right side of (23) is a.s. contained in the set on the left side of (23) is done by an identical argument, and we can conclude that (23) holds. □

Lemma 5.3. *The function $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ defined above is a.s. well-defined, and ϕ is a.s. an area-preserving homeomorphism.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, a.s.,

$$\{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \eta(t_1) = \eta(t_2)\} = \{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \widetilde{\eta}(t_1) = \widetilde{\eta}(t_2)\}.$$

This implies that ϕ is well-defined and bijective.

Next we will argue that ϕ is a.s. continuous. By symmetry in η and $\widetilde{\eta}$, and since ϕ is bijective, this will imply that ϕ is a homeomorphism a.s. It is sufficient to argue that a.s., for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, any sequence $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging to z, and any $\epsilon > 0$, we have $|\phi(z_n) - \phi(z)| < \epsilon$ for all sufficiently large n. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\eta(t) = z$ iff $t = t_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. For each $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ let I_j be an open interval containing t_j such that $\widetilde{\eta}(I_j) \subset B_\epsilon(\phi(z))$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $s_n \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\eta(s_n) = z_n$. To conclude the proof, it is sufficient to argue that $s_n \in \cup_j I_j$ for all sufficiently large n. We will prove this by contradiction, and assume there is a strictly increasing sequence $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $s_{n_k} \notin \cup_j I_j$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence $(s_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, so we can find $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(s_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges subsequentially to s. By continuity of η we have $\eta(s) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \eta(s_{n_k}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = z$, so $s = t_j$ for some j. This is a contradiction to the assumption $s_{n_k} \notin \cup_j I_j$, and we conclude that ϕ is continuous.

To prove that ϕ is a.s. measure-preserving it is sufficient to prove that for any disk $B \subset \mathbb{C}$ we have $\mathcal{L}(B) = \mathcal{L}(\phi(B))$ a.s., where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes Lebesgue measure. Let $(J_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a countable collection of disjoint intervals such that $B = \cup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \eta(J_k)$. Since η is parametrized by Lebesgue measure, $\mathcal{L}(B) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} |J_k|$, where we use $|\cdot|$ to denote the length of an interval. Since $\widetilde{\eta} = \phi \circ \eta$, we know that $\phi(B) = \cup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \widetilde{\eta}(J_k)$, so since $\widetilde{\eta}$ is parametrized by Lebesgue measure, we have $\mathcal{L}(\phi(B)) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} |J_k|$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}(B) = \mathcal{L}(\phi(B))$, so ϕ is a.s. measure-preserving. □

For fixed $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 = A_1(a, b) &:= |\phi(a + b) - \phi(a)|, \\ A_2 = A_2(a, b) &:= |\phi(a + 2b) - \phi(a + b)|, \\ A_3 = A_2(a, b) &:= |\phi(a + 2b) - \phi(a)|. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.4. *For any fixed $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $A_1 \overset{d}{=} A_2$ and $A_3 \overset{d}{=} 2A_1$.*

Proof. We first remark that the first result $A_1 \overset{d}{=} A_2$ is *not* an immediate consequence of invariance under recentering of whole-plane space-filling SLE, which holds by invariance under recentering of the whole-plane GFF from which the curve is generated. In order to show that $A_1 \overset{d}{=} A_2$, we need to show that the *joint* law of η and $\widetilde{\eta}$ is invariant under recentering the curves at the time when η hits b.

Let $X = (Z, \eta, \widetilde{\eta})$ be the triple consisting of the boundary length process Z, in addition to the two conditionally independent curves η and $\widetilde{\eta}$. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $X(t)$ be equal to X, except that the processes are recentered at time t, i.e.,

$$X(t) = (Z_{\cdot+t} - Z_t, \eta(\cdot + t) - \eta(t), \widetilde{\eta}(\cdot + t) - \widetilde{\eta}(t)).$$

Fix $M > 0$, and let σ be a uniform random variable in $[-M, M]$ independent of X. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\tau(z) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \eta(t) = z\}$ be the time at which η hits z. By independence of σ and X, and since $X \overset{d}{=} X(t)$ for any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by Lemma 2.3, we have $X \overset{d}{=} X(\sigma)$, so

$$(X, X(\tau(b))) \overset{d}{=} (X(\sigma), X(\tau(\eta(\sigma) + b))). \tag{24}$$

When $M \to \infty$, $X(\tau(\eta(\sigma) + b))$ converges to $X(\sigma) = X(\tau(\eta(\sigma)))$ in total variation distance, since sampling a time σ uniformly from $[-M, M]$ is equivalent to sampling a point z uniformly from $\eta([-M, M])$ in the sense that $z \stackrel{d}{=} \eta(\sigma)$. Since the total variation distance between the two elements on the right side of (24) converges to zero when $M \to \infty$, we see that the two elements on the left side of (24) are equal in law. This implies that $A_1 \stackrel{d}{=} A_2$.

Next we will prove that $A_3 \stackrel{d}{=} 2A_1$. Since $X \stackrel{d}{=} X(\tau(a))$ by the arguments of the preceding paragraph, we may assume $a = 0$ in the remainder of the proof. Define $\eta' = 2\eta(\cdot/4)$, $\widetilde{\eta}' = 2\widetilde{\eta}(\cdot/4)$, and $Z'_t = 2^{5/4} Z_{\cdot/4}$. Define $\acute{\phi} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\acute{\phi}(\eta'(t)) = \widetilde{\eta}'(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $(Z', \eta', \widetilde{\eta}') \stackrel{d}{=} (Z, \eta, \widetilde{\eta})$, we see that $\acute{\phi}$ is well-defined and $\acute{\phi} \stackrel{d}{=} \phi$. Then define $A'_3 = |\acute{\phi}(2b) - \acute{\phi}(0)|$. Since $(\eta', \widetilde{\eta}') \stackrel{d}{=} (\eta, \widetilde{\eta})$ and $A'_3 = 2A_1$, we have $A_3 \stackrel{d}{=} A'_3 = 2A_1$, and the second identity follows. □

The following proposition will be proved in Section 6.

Proposition 5.5. *For fixed $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $\mathbb{E}(A_1) < \infty$.*

Combining the above results we can show that ϕ is linear.

Proposition 5.6. *The map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a.s. linear, and the matrix describing the linear transformation has determinant ± 1.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\mathbb{E}(A_1(a, b)) < \infty$ and $A_3(a, b) \stackrel{d}{=} 2A_1(a, b) \stackrel{d}{=} 2A_2(a, b)$, we have $\mathbb{E}[A_1(a, b)] + \mathbb{E}[A_2(a, b)] = \mathbb{E}[A_3(a, b)]$. Since we know by the triangle inequality that $A_1(a, b) + A_2(a, b) \geq A_3(a, b)$, we see that $A_1(a, b) + A_2(a, b) = A_3(a, b)$ a.s. This means that $\phi(a), \phi(a + b), \phi(a + 2b)$ are a.s. collinear. Therefore, a.s. for any $q, \widetilde{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following three points are collinear

$$\phi(\widetilde{q} + qi), \quad \phi(\widetilde{q} + qi + m2^k), \quad \phi(\widetilde{q} + qi + m2^{k+1}). \tag{25}$$

Furthermore, the second point of (25) is a.s. between the first point and the third point of (25).

By continuity of ϕ, and since the three points (25) are collinear, for any fixed q the set $\phi(\ell_q)$ is a.s. contained in a line. By Lemma 5.3, $\phi(\ell_q)$ is homeomorphic to ℓ_q, so $\phi(\ell_q)$ is a.s. either a line segment, a half-line or a line.

For any given $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ consider the grid made by the lines ℓ_{m2^k} and $\widetilde{\ell}_{m2^k}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since ϕ is a homeomorphism a.s., any connected domain D bounded by four of the grid lines ℓ_{m2^k} and $\widetilde{\ell}_{m2^k}$, is mapped bijectively onto the domain bounded by the image of these lines under ϕ. Since $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}(\phi(D))$ for each such domain D, we see that the lines ℓ_{m2^k} for different m are parallel, that $\phi(\ell_{m2^k})$ is a.s. a line (i.e., not a half-line or a line segment), and that the same properties hold for the lines $\widetilde{\ell}_{m2^k}$. We conclude that a.s. for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, ϕ is an affine map restricted to the grid made by ℓ_{m2^k} and $\widetilde{\ell}_{m2^k}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, so since $\phi(0) = 0$, ϕ is continuous, and k was arbitrary, the map ϕ must be linear. The determinant of the matrix describing the linear map must be ± 1 since the map is measure-preserving. □

The following lemma is the main ingredient used to deduce Proposition 5.1 from Proposition 5.6. Using that both η and $\widetilde{\eta}$ have the marginal law of an SLE_κ, we will use the lemma to deduce that the linear map ϕ preserves angles. It will be proved in Section 6.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\underline{\kappa} < 4$, let h be a whole-plane GFF modulo $2\pi\chi$ with χ given by (3), and for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ let η_z^L (resp. η_z^R) be the west-going (resp. east-going) flow line of h started at z with some arbitrary monotone parametrization. For $\theta \in (0, \pi)$, $r > 0$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ define $z_k := 2^{-k} r e^{i\theta}$,*

$$t_k^L := \inf\{t \geq 0 : \operatorname{Im} \eta_{z_k}^L(t) < 0\}, \quad t_k^R := \inf\{t \geq 0 : \operatorname{Im} \eta_{z_k}^R(t) < 0\},$$
$$a_k := \eta_{z_k}^L(t_k^L), \quad b_k := \eta_{z_k}^R(t_k^R), \quad x_k := \mathbf{1}_{\{a_k + b_k \geq 0\}}, \quad Z_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} x_k.$$

For $\theta < \pi/2$ (resp. $\theta = \pi/2$, $\theta > \pi/2$) there is a constant $p_\theta \in (0,1)$ satisfying $p_\theta > 0.5$ (resp. $p_\theta = 0.5$, $p_\theta < 0.5$), such that a.s.- $\lim_{n\to\infty} Z_n = p_\theta$.

We conclude the proof of Proposition 5.1 by showing that unless ϕ is of the wanted form, η and $\widetilde{\eta}$ cannot both satisfy the property of Lemma 5.7.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. We will argue that the image of the two lines $\{s : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\{si : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ under ϕ is a pair of orthogonal lines. This is sufficient to complete the proof, since it implies by Proposition 5.6 that ϕ is a composition of a rotation and possibly a reflection, and we see that ϕ has to be a rotation (not composed with a reflection), since the boundary length process Z is invariant under a rotation of η, while the two coordinates are swapped upon a reflection.

For any $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ and $r > 0$, let $Z_n^{\theta_0,\theta,r}$ denote the random variable Z_n defined in Lemma 5.7 for the curve $(e^{-i\theta_0}\eta(t))_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} A_- &:= \{(\theta_0, \theta, r) \in [0, 2\pi) \times (0, \pi) \times \mathbb{R}_+ : \lim_{n\to\infty} Z_n^{\theta_0,\theta,r} < 1/2\}, \\ A_+ &:= \{(\theta_0, \theta, r) \in [0, 2\pi) \times (0, \pi) \times \mathbb{R}_+ : \lim_{n\to\infty} Z_n^{\theta_0,\theta,r} > 1/2\}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

By Fubini's theorem, Lemma 5.7, and rotational invariance of whole-plane space-filling SLE, we have $\mathcal{L}(A_\pm \Delta B_\pm) = 0$ a.s. for $B_+ := [0, 2\pi) \times (0, \pi/2) \times \mathbb{R}_+$ and $B_- := [0, 2\pi) \times (\pi/2, \pi) \times \mathbb{R}_+$, where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes Lebesgue measure and Δ denotes symmetric difference. Recall that $\widetilde{\eta} = \phi \circ \eta$, and let $\widetilde{A}_\pm$ be defined exactly as $A_\pm$, but with $\widetilde{\eta}$ instead of η, i.e., we first define $\widetilde{Z}_n^{\theta_0,\theta,r}$ exactly as $Z_n^{\theta_0,\theta,r}$ with $\widetilde{\eta}$ instead of η, and then we define $\widetilde{A}_\pm$ by (26) with $\widetilde{Z}_n^{\theta_0,\theta,r}$ instead of $Z_n^{\theta_0,\theta,r}$. By definition of ϕ, and since for any $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $a, b, 0$ are collinear, we have $|a| > |b|$ iff $|\phi(a)| > |\phi(b)|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{A}_\pm = \{&(\widetilde{\theta}_0, \widetilde{\theta}, \widetilde{r}) \in [0, 2\pi) \times (0, \pi) \times \mathbb{R}_+ : \exists (\theta_0, \theta, r) \in A_\pm \text{ such that} \\ &\widetilde{\theta}_0 = \arg \phi(e^{i\theta_0}),\ \widetilde{r} e^{i(\widetilde{\theta}_0 + \widetilde{\theta})} = \phi(r e^{i(\theta_0+\theta)})\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\widetilde{\eta}$ has the marginal law of an SLE_κ, we see from Lemma 5.7 that $\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{A}_\pm \Delta B_\pm) = 0$. It follows that for almost all θ_0, the image of the lines $\{se^{i\theta_0} : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\{se^{i(\theta_0+\pi/2)} : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ under ϕ are orthogonal, which concludes the proof. □

Proof of Corollary 1.5. It is sufficient to prove that we get a topological sphere when we glue together the pair of trees in Figure 3; once we have proved this it is immediate that the sphere is equipped with a space-filling path (mapping each $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ to the equivalence class of



Figure 4: Illustration of the proof of Proposition 5.1. The region A_+ (resp. A_-) in light blue (resp. yellow) on the left figure corresponds to the points (θ_0, θ, r) with $\theta_0 = 0$ for which $\lim_{n\to\infty} Z_n^{\theta_0,\theta,r} > \frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $\lim_{n\to\infty} Z_n^{\theta_0,\theta,r} < \frac{1}{2}$). The right figure shows $\widetilde{A}_\pm$, which are a.s. identical to $\phi(\widetilde{A}_\pm)$ by the definition of ϕ. Since $\phi \circ \eta$ has the marginal law of an SLE, each of the domains $\widetilde{A}_\pm$ is a rotation (possibly composed with a reflection) of the first quadrant a.s. Since a reflection would interchange the two coordinates of Z, we conclude that ϕ is a rotation a.s.

$(\phi(t_0), R'_{\phi(t_0)})$), and Theorem 1.4 implies that the embedding of the path-decorated sphere into $\mathbb{C}$ is canonical. It follows by Lemma 5.2 that we get a topological sphere under the equivalence relation on Figure 3, since the existence of appropriate times $s_1, \dots, s_k$ is exactly the condition which says whether two times t_1 and t_2 are in the same equivalence class for the considered equivalence relation, and since the lemma implies that the quotient topology on the set of equivalence classes is the same as the standard topology on $\mathbb{C}$. □

6 Proof of Proposition 5.5 and Lemma 5.7

The proofs of Proposition 5.5 and Lemma 5.7 are based on regularity estimates for space-filling SLE_κ, which we will prove in Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 6.2. Throughout the section let $\kappa > 4$, let χ be given by (3) with $\underline{\kappa} = 16/\kappa$, let h be a whole-plane GFF modulo a global additive multiple of $2\pi\chi$, and for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ let η_z^L (resp. η_z^R) be the west-going (resp. east-going) flow line of h started from z. Let η be the whole-plane space-filling SLE_κ generated by h, parametrized by Lebesgue measure and satisfying $\eta(0) = 0$.

The lemma we state next will be applied in the proof of both Proposition 6.2 and Lemma 5.7. Define stopping times $\sigma^\pm$ for η as follows

$$\sigma^+ = \sup\{t \geq 0 : \eta([0,t]) \subset \mathbb{D}\}, \quad \sigma^- = \inf\{t \leq 0 : \eta([t,0]) \subset \mathbb{D}\}. \tag{27}$$

Let $p^\pm := \eta(\sigma^\pm)$. Let p^R (resp. p^L) be the point at which the two flow lines $\eta_{p^\pm}^R$ (resp. $\eta_{p^\pm}^L$) merge. Then define the σ-algebra $\mathcal{G}$ by $\mathcal{G} := \sigma(D, \mathfrak{p})$, where $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{C}^4$ and $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ are defined by

$$\mathfrak{p} := (p^+, p^-, p^R, p^L), \quad D := \eta([\sigma^-, \sigma^+]).$$

See the left part of Figure 5 for an illustration of the objects defined above and of the statement of the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *In the setting described above, for $R > 1$ let E_R be the event that there exists $z^+, z^- \in B_R(0)$ such that z^+ (resp. z^-) is contained in the upper (resp. lower) half-plane, and such that the following hold*

- *$\eta^L_{z^+}$ and $\eta^L_{z^-}$ (resp. $\eta^R_{z^+}$ and $\eta^R_{z^-}$) merge before they exit $B_R(0)$,*
- *$\eta^L_{z^+}$ and $\eta^L_{z^-}$ (resp. $\eta^R_{z^+}$ and $\eta^R_{z^-}$) hit $\mathbb{R}_-$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}_+$) before they exit $B_R(0)$, and*
- *the bounded region enclosed by the four flow lines $\eta^L_{z^+}, \eta^L_{z^-}, \eta^R_{z^+}, \eta^R_{z^-}$ contains $\mathbb{D}$.*

Then there exist $p > 0$ and $R_0 > 0$, such that for $R \geq R_0$ we have $\mathbb{P}[E_R \,|\, \mathcal{G}] > p$.



Figure 5: The left figure illustrates the event E_R in the statement of Lemma 6.1, and the right figure illustrates the proof of the lemma. We show that E_R has a uniformly positive probability of occuring conditioned on the set D (shown in light blue) and $\mathfrak{p} = (p^+, p^-, p^R, p^L)$. We do this by applying Lemma 2.1, which says that the realization of the Gaussian free field on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}$ on the right figure does not depend too strongly on D and $\mathfrak{p}$ in domains bounded away from $\mathbb{D}$ and ∞.

Proof. Since the event E_R is monotone in R, it is sufficient to prove that there exist $R > 0$ and $p > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}[E_R \,|\, \mathcal{G}] \geq p$. Let $F : \mathbb{C} \setminus D \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}$ be the unique conformal map such that $\lim_{z\to\infty} F(z)/z > 0$. By [Law05, Propositions 3.29-3.30] there is a universal constant $c > 0$ such that $|F(z) - e^{-\operatorname{cap}(D)} z| < c$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D$, where $\operatorname{cap}(D)$ is the logarithmic capacity of D and $e^{-\operatorname{cap}(D)} \in [1, 4]$. Let $R = 100(1 + c)$, and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &F(\mathbb{D}) \subset B_{0.1R}(0), \qquad B_{0.9R}(0) \subset F(B_R(0)) \\ &F(\mathbb{R} \setminus D) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im}(z)| < 0.1R\}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

We will argue that D is a local set for the GFF h, as defined in [SS13, Section 3.3] (see also [MS16c, Section 3.2]). Given any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ the flow line η^θ_z of h started at z with angle θ is a local set for h by [MS13, Theorem 1.1] and [SS13, Lemma 3.9, 4.]. Let $H(\mathbb{C})$ be the Hilbert space closure for the Dirichlet inner product of the space $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{C})$ of real-valued smooth compactly supported functions on $\mathbb{C}$. For any open set $U \subset \mathbb{C}$, let

$H_{\text{supp}}(U)$ be the subset of $H(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of functions which are supported in U, and let $H_{\text{harm}}(U) \subset H(\mathbb{C})$ be the orthogonal complement of $H_{\text{supp}}(U)$ for the Dirichlet inner product. Since D is measurable with respect to a countable collection of flow lines for h, the event that $U \cap D = \emptyset$ is measurable with respect to the projection of h onto $H_{\text{harm}}(U)$, so D is local by [SS13, Lemma 3.9, 1.].

We will now describe the boundary conditions of $h|_{\mathbb{C}\setminus D}$. By [MS13], for any fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and with χ and λ' as in (3), the boundary conditions modulo $2\pi\chi$ on the left (resp. right) side of η_z^θ are given by $-\lambda' - \theta\chi$ (resp. $\lambda' - \theta\chi$), plus χ times the winding of the curve, where the winding is defined relative to a path going straight upwards (equivalently, straight northwards, or in the direction of the positive imaginary axis). We say that the flow line has flow line boundary conditions $-\lambda' - \theta\chi$ (resp. $\lambda' - \theta\chi$) on its left (resp. right) side. See [MS13, Theorem 1.1 and Figure 1.9]. The flow line boundary conditions of $h|_{\mathbb{C}\setminus D}$ are therefore given by $-\lambda' - \frac{\pi}{2}\chi$ (resp. $\lambda' - \frac{\pi}{2}\chi$, $-\lambda' + \frac{\pi}{2}\chi$, $+\lambda' + \frac{\pi}{2}\chi$) on the segment p^-p^L (resp. p^+p^L, p^+p^R, p^-p^R) of ∂D. Since D is local, the characterization of local sets in [SS13, Lemma 3.9] implies that the conditional law of $h|_{\mathbb{C}\setminus D}$ given $\mathcal{G}$ is that of a zero boundary GFF plus the harmonic extension of the values of $h_{\mathbb{C}\setminus D}$ from ∂D to $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$.

Define the distribution $\widehat{h}$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}$ by $\widehat{h} := h \circ F^{-1} - \chi \arg(F^{-1})'$. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, the curve $F \circ \eta_z^\theta$ is a flow line for $\widehat{h}$ of angle θ started at $F(z)$, see [MS16c, Section 1.3]. Define $\widehat{p}^\bullet := F(p^\bullet)$ for $\bullet \in \{+, -, e, w\}$. Observe that $\widehat{h}$ has the same flow line boundary values as h, i.e., the flow line boundary conditions are given by $-\lambda' - \frac{\pi}{2}\chi$ on the segment $\widehat{p}^-\widehat{p}^L$ of $\partial\mathbb{D}$, etc.

Let $\widehat{E}_R$ be the event that we can find $\widehat{z}^+, \widehat{z}^- \in B_{0.9R}(0) \setminus B_{0.1R}(0)$ satisfying $\text{Im}(\widehat{z}^+) > 0.1R$ and $\text{Im}(\widehat{z}^-) < -0.1R$ such that

- the flow lines $\eta^L_{\widehat{z}^+}$ and $\eta^L_{\widehat{z}^-}$ (resp. $\eta^R_{\widehat{z}^+}$ and $\eta^R_{\widehat{z}^-}$) for $\widehat{h}$ merge before they exit $B_{0.9R}(0)$,
- the flow lines $\eta^L_{\widehat{z}^\pm}$ (resp. $\eta^R_{\widehat{z}^\pm}$) hit $\mathbb{R}_- \mp 0.1R$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}_+ \mp 0.1R$) before they exit $B_{0.9R}(0)$, and
- the bounded region enclosed by the four flow lines $\eta^L_{\widehat{z}^+}, \eta^L_{\widehat{z}^-}, \eta^R_{\widehat{z}^+}, \eta^R_{\widehat{z}^-}$ for $\widehat{h}$ contains $B_{0.1R}(0)$.

Observe that $\widehat{h}|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$ is bounded, and that $\widehat{E}_R$ is measurable with respect to $\widehat{h}|_{B_{0.9R}(0)\setminus B_{0.1R}(0)}$. Since the event $\widehat{E}_R$ occurs with positive probability for any fixed choice of boundary data for $\widehat{h}$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, it follows by Lemma 2.1 that there is a $p > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}[\widehat{E}_R \,|\, \mathcal{G}] > p$. By (28) and our choice of R, we have $\widehat{E}_R \subset E_R$, since we can define $z^\pm = F^{-1}(\widehat{z}^\pm)$ on the event that $\widehat{E}_R$ occurs. It follows that $\mathbb{P}[E_R \,|\, \mathcal{G}] > p$. □

Proposition 6.2. *Let η be a whole-plane space-filling* SLE_κ *for $\kappa > 4$, parametrized by Lebesgue measure and satisfying $\eta(0) = 0$. Then there exist a $\xi > 0$ such that for all $M > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{D} \nsubseteq \eta([-M, M])] \preceq M^{-\xi}, \tag{29}$$

where the implicit constant may depend on κ.

Proof. Let E_R be the event of Lemma 6.1, and fix $R > 0$ sufficiently large such that $\mathbb{P}[E_R \,|\, \mathcal{G}] > p$ for some $p > 0$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let E_R^k be the event that E_R holds for the Gaussian free field $h \circ g_k - \chi \arg(g_k') = h \circ g_k$, where $g_k(z) := R^{k-1}z$. In other words, E_R^k is defined exactly

as E_R, except that $B_R(0)$ is replaced by $B_{R^k}(0)$ and $\mathbb{D}$ is replaced by $B_{R^{k-1}}(0)$. Let $\mathcal{G}_k$ be the σ-algebra which is defined exactly as $\mathcal{G}$, but for the Gaussian free field $h \circ g_k$. By conformal invariance of h and Lemma 6.1, $\mathbb{P}[E_R^k \,|\, \mathcal{G}_k] > p$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so $\mathbb{P}[\cap_{1 \le k \le K} (E_R^k)^c] < (1-p)^K$. Observe that if $M = \pi R^{2K}$ for some $K \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\{\mathbb{D} \not\subset \eta([-M, M])\} \subset \cap_{1 \le k \le K} (E_R^k)^c$, so

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathbb{D} \not\subset \eta([-M, M])] < (1-p)^K, \quad M = \pi R^{2K}.$$

This implies the existence of an appropriate ξ. □

Proof of Proposition 5.5. We will show that $\mathbb{P}[|\phi(a+b) - \phi(a)| > k]$ decays faster than any negative power of k, which is sufficient to complete the proof of the proposition. When proving this, we will consider an infinite graph G defined as follows. Each vertex of G is identified with an interval on the form $[m, m+1]$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. There is an edge between vertices corresponding to intervals $[m_1, m_1+1]$ and $[m_2, m_2+1]$ iff $\eta([m_1, m_1+1]) \cap \eta([m_1, m_1+1]) \neq \emptyset$. We remark that G is defined similarly as the *structure graphs* considered in [GHS16a], where the graphs were used to define a discrete metric on a Liouville quantum gravity surface. We note that η and $\widetilde{\eta}$ give the same graph G, since G is measurable with respect to Z by Lemma 5.2.

We fix $K > 0$, and want to show that $\mathbb{P}[|\phi(a+b) - \phi(a)| > k] \preceq k^{-K}$ for all $k \ge 10^{10}(1+b^{10})$, where the implicit constant may depend on K, but not on k. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be the number of vertices $[m, m+1]$ of G for which $\eta([m, m+1]) \cap [a, a+b] \neq \emptyset$, where $[a, a+b]$ denotes the line segment connecting a and $a+b$. For any $K' > 0$, a union bound gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\big(|\phi(a+b) - \phi(a)| > k\big) \le\ & \mathbb{P}\big([a, a+b] \not\subset \eta([-k^{K'}, k^{K'}])\big) \\ & + \mathbb{P}\big([a, a+b] \subset \eta([-k^{K'}, k^{K'}]);\, d \ge k^{1/2}\big) \\ & + \mathbb{P}\big([a, a+b] \subset \eta([-k^{K'}, k^{K'}]);\, d < k^{1/2};\, |\phi(a+b) - \phi(a)| > k\big). \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Choose K' sufficiently large such that the first term on the right side of (30) is $\preceq k^{-K}$; such a value of K' exists by Proposition 6.2. If $d \ge k^{1/2}$, there are $\ge k^{1/2}$ cells of area 1 which intersect $[a, a+b]$, hence at least one of the cells has diameter larger than $k^{1/10}$; otherwise all the $\ge k^{1/2}$ cells would be contained in the ball $B_{k^{1/10}+b+1}(a)$, thus contradicting the fact that the area of $B_{k^{1/10}+b+1}(a)$ is smaller than $k^{1/2}$. By a union bound, translation invariance in law of η, and [GHM15, Lemma 3.3],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\big([a, a+b] \subset \eta([-k^{K'}, k^{K'}]);\, d \ge k^{1/2}\big) &\le \sum_{j=-k^{K'}}^{k^{K'}-1} \mathbb{P}\big(\operatorname{diam}(\eta([j, j+1])) > k^{1/10}\big) \\ &\le 2k^{K'} \mathbb{P}\big(\operatorname{diam}(\eta([0,1])) > k^{1/10}\big) \preceq k^{-K}. \end{aligned}$$

If the event in the third term on the right side of (30) occurs, there is an $m \in \{-k^{K'}, -k^{K'}+1, \dots, k^{K'}-1\}$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(\widetilde{\eta}([m, m+1])) \ge |\phi(a+b) - \phi(a)|/d > k^{1/2}$. Applying [GHM15, Lemma 3.3] again, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\big([a, a+b] \subset \eta([-k^{K'}, k^{K'}]);\, d < k^{1/2}; |\phi(a+b) - \phi(a)| > k\big) \\ &\qquad \le 2k^{K'} \mathbb{P}\big(\operatorname{diam}(\widetilde{\eta}([0,1])) > k^{1/2}\big) \preceq k^{-K}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above bounds, we see from (30) that $\mathbb{P}[|\phi(a+b) - \phi(a)| > k] \preceq k^{-K}$, which concludes the proof of Proposition 5.5. □

Proof of Lemma 5.7. By scale invariance of SLE, it is sufficient to prove the lemma for $r = 1$, and we will make this assumption throughout the proof. Define $p_\theta := \mathbb{P}(x_1 = 1)$. We will argue that p_θ satisfies the inequality in the statement of the lemma. By symmetry, we have $p_{\pi/2} = 0.5$, and we assume for the remainder of the paragraph that $\theta \neq \pi/2$. By invariance under recentering of the whole plane GFF from which the flow lines $\eta^R_{z_1}$ and $\eta^L_{z_1}$ are generated, the law of $a_k + b_k$ is symmetric about $\mathrm{Re}(z_1)$. It holds with positive probability that $a_k + b_k \in (\mathrm{Re}(z_1) \wedge 0, \mathrm{Re}(z_1) \vee 0)$. Since $\theta < \pi/2$ (resp. $\theta > \pi/2$) implies that $\mathrm{Re}(z_1) > 0$ (resp. $\mathrm{Re}(z_1) < 0$) it follows that p_θ satisfies the inequalities in the statement of the lemma.

First we will prove that we can find a $c > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(E_k^c) \leq \exp(-ck), \qquad E_k := \left\{\eta^R_{z_k}([0, t^R_k]) \subset \mathbb{D};\, \eta^L_{z_k}([0, t^L_k]) \subset \mathbb{D}\right\}. \tag{31}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\widehat{E}_k$ be the event defined exactly as the event E_R in Lemma 6.1, but for the GFF $h \circ g_m$ instead of h, where $g_m(z) := 2^{-m} z$. In other words, $\widehat{E}_k$ is defined exactly as the event E_R, except that we consider the disk $\mathbb{D}$ (resp. $B_{2^{-k}}(0)$) instead of $B_R(0)$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}$). Let $p > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that Lemma 6.1 holds with $R = 2^N$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $D_m \subset B_{2^{-m}}(0)$ and $\mathfrak{p}_m \in \mathbb{C}$ be defined as D and $\mathfrak{p}$, respectively, in the proof of Proposition 6.2, but for the Gaussian free field $h \circ g_m$ instead of h. By applying Lemma 6.1 iteratively, such that we in step $m \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ of the iteration condition on $D_{N(k-m)}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{N(k-m)}$, we have $\mathbb{P}[\widehat{E}^c_{Nk}] \leq (1-p)^k$. See the proof of Proposition 6.2 for a similar argument.

Since $\mathbb{P}[\widehat{E}^c_{Nk}] \leq (1-p)^k$ and $\widehat{E}_k \subset \widehat{E}_{k+1}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, in order to complete the proof of (31) it is sufficient to show that $\widehat{E}_k \subset E_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\widehat{E}_k$ occurs and $z^+ \in \mathbb{H} \cap (\mathbb{D} \setminus B_{2^{-k}}(0))$ is as in the definition of $\widehat{E}_k$, then the flow line $\eta^L_{z^+}$ (resp. $\eta^R_{z^+}$) stays inside $\mathbb{D} \setminus B_{2^{-k}(0)}$ until it hits $\mathbb{R}_-$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}_+$). The flow lines $\eta^L_{z_k}$ and $\eta^R_{z_k}$ do not cross the flow lines $\eta^L_{z^+}$ and $\eta^R_{z^+}$, so they stay inside the closure of the domain enclosed by $\eta^L_{z^+}$, $\eta^R_{z^+}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ until they hit $\mathbb{R}$. This implies that E_k occurs, and hence completes the proof of (31).

Next we will argue that we can find a decreasing sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging to 0 such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{E}_k^c) \leq \exp(-ck/2), \qquad \widetilde{E}_k := \left\{\eta^R_{z_k}([0, t^R_k]) \subset B_{s_k}(0);\, \eta^L_{z_k}([0, t^L_k]) \subset B_{s_k}(0)\right\}. \tag{32}$$

By scale invariance of SLE, the probability of $\widetilde{E}_k$ is a function of the ratio $|z_k|/s_k$ for fixed θ. Defining $s_k = 2^{-\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}$, we see by (31) that $\mathbb{P}(\widetilde{E}_k^c) = \mathbb{P}(E^c_{\lceil k/2 \rceil}) \leq \exp(-ck/2)$, so (32) holds.

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, the event E_k occurs for all sufficiently large k. By the first characterization of local sets in [SS13, Lemma 3.9] and since flow lines of the GFF are local sets, $x_k \mathbf{1}_{E_k}$ is measurable with respect to $h|_{B_{s_k}(0)}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is stationary. So by Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem, $\lim_{n \to \infty} Z_n$ exists a.s. and has expectation p_θ. By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, $\lim_{n \to \infty} Z_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \mathbf{1}_{E_k}$ a.s. Since $\cap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma(h|_{B_{s_k}(0)})$ is trivial by Lemma 2.2, we see that this limit is equal to a deterministic constant a.s., so $\lim_{n \to \infty} Z_n = p_\theta$ a.s. □

References

[ADH13] R. Abraham, J.-F. Delmas, and P. Hoscheit. A note on the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov distance between (locally) compact metric measure spaces. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 18:no. 14, 21, 2013. MR3035742

[Ald91a] D. Aldous. The continuum random tree. I. *Ann. Probab.*, 19(1):1–28, 1991. MR1085326 (91i:60024)

[Ald91b] D. Aldous. The continuum random tree. II. An overview. In *Stochastic analysis (Durham, 1990)*, volume 167 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 23–70. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991. MR1166406 (93f:60010)

[Ald93] D. Aldous. The continuum random tree. III. *Ann. Probab.*, 21(1):248–289, 1993. MR1207226 (94c:60015)

[BCK14] M. T. Barlow, D. A. Croydon, and T. Kumagai. Subsequential scaling limits of simple random walk on the two-dimensional uniform spanning tree. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2014, 1407.5162.

[BDW15] S. Benoist, L. Dumaz, and W. Werner. Near-critical spanning forests and renormalization. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2015, 1503.08093.

[Ben16a] S. Benoist. Building the natural parametrization of SLE from the free field. In preparation, 2016.

[Ben16b] S. Benoist. Notes on Sheffield's quantum zipper. http://math.mit.edu/~sbenoist/Doc/NSQZ.pdf, 2016.

[Ber07] O. Bernardi. Bijective counting of tree-rooted maps and shuffles of parenthesis systems. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 14(1):Research Paper 9, 36 pp. (electronic), 2007. MR2285813

[Ber15] N. Berestycki. An elementary approach to Gaussian multiplicative chaos. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2015, 1506.09113.

[BM10] M. T. Barlow and R. Masson. Exponential tail bounds for loop-erased random walk in two dimensions. *Ann. Probab.*, 38(6):2379–2417, 2010. MR2683633

[CDCH$^+$14] D. Chelkak, H. Duminil-Copin, C. Hongler, A. Kemppainen, and S. Smirnov. Convergence of Ising interfaces to Schramm's SLE curves. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 352(2):157–161, 2014. MR3151886

[CS12] D. Chelkak and S. Smirnov. Universality in the 2D Ising model and conformal invariance of fermionic observables. *Invent. Math.*, 189(3):515–580, 2012. MR2957303

[DKRV16] F. David, A. Kupiainen, R. Rhodes, and V. Vargas. Liouville quantum gravity on the Riemann sphere. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 342(3):869–907, 2016. MR3465434

[DMS14] B. Duplantier, J. Miller, and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity as a mating of trees. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2014, 1409.7055.

[DS11] B. Duplantier and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity and KPZ. *Invent. Math.*, 185(2):333–393, 2011, 1206.0212. MR2819163 (2012f:81251)

[Dub09] J. Dubédat. SLE and the free field: partition functions and couplings. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 22(4):995–1054, 2009, 0712.3018. MR2525778 (2011d:60242)

[Dur10] R. Durrett. *Probability: theory and examples*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, fourth edition, 2010. MR2722836 (2011e:60001)

[Eva10] L. C. Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2010. MR2597943

[GHM15] E. Gwynne, N. Holden, and J. Miller. An almost sure KPZ relation for SLE and Brownian motion. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2015, 1512.01223.

[GHMS16] E. Gwynne, N. Holden, J. Miller, and X. Sun. Brownian motion correlation in the peanosphere for $\kappa > 8$. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 2016, 1510.04687. To appear.

[GHS16a] E. Gwynne, N. Holden, and X. Sun. A distance exponent for Liouville quantum gravity. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2016, 1606.01214.

[GHS16b] E. Gwynne, N. Holden, and X. Sun. Joint scaling limit of a bipolar-oriented triangulation and its dual in the peanosphere sense. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2016, 1603.01194.

[GKMW16] E. Gwynne, A. Kassel, J. Miller, and D. B. Wilson. Active spanning trees with bending energy on planar maps and SLE-decorated Liouville quantum gravity for $\kappa \geq 8$. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2016, 1603.09722.

[GMS15] E. Gwynne, C. Mao, and X. Sun. Scaling limits for the Fortuin-Kasteleyn model on a random planar map I: cone times. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2015, 1502.00546.

[GS15a] E. Gwynne and X. Sun. Scaling limits for the critical Fortuin-Kasteleyn model on a random planar map II: local estimates and empty reduced word exponent. *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2015, 1505.03375.

[GS15b] E. Gwynne and X. Sun. Scaling limits for the critical Fortuin-Kastelyn model on a random planar map III: finite volume case. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2015, 1510.06346.

[KMSW15] R. Kenyon, J. Miller, S. Sheffield, and D. B. Wilson. Bipolar orientations on planar maps and SLE_{12}. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2015, 1511.04068.

[KMSW16] R. Kenyon, J. Miller, S. Sheffield, and D. B. Wilson. The six-vertex model and Schramm-Loewner evolution. *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2016, 1605.06471.

[KS16] A. Kemppainen and S. Smirnov. Conformal invariance in random cluster models. II. Full scaling limit as a branching SLE. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2016, 1609.08527.

[Law05] G. F. Lawler. *Conformally invariant processes in the plane*, volume 114 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. MR2129588 (2006i:60003)

[LG05] J.-F. Le Gall. Random trees and applications. *Probab. Surv.*, 2:245–311, 2005. MR2203728

[LR15] G. F. Lawler and M. A. Rezaei. Minkowski content and natural parameterization for the Schramm-Loewner evolution. *Ann. Probab.*, 43(3):1082–1120, 2015. MR3342659

[LS11] G. F. Lawler and S. Sheffield. A natural parametrization for the Schramm-Loewner evolution. *Ann. Probab.*, 39(5):1896–1937, 2011, 0906.3804. MR2884877

[LSW04] G. F. Lawler, O. Schramm, and W. Werner. Conformal invariance of planar loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees. *Ann. Probab.*, 32(1B):939–995, 2004, math/0112234. MR2044671 (2005f:82043)

[LV16] G. F. Lawler and F. Viklund. Convergence of loop-erased random walk in the natural parametrization. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2016, 1603.05203.

[Mas09] R. Masson. The growth exponent for planar loop-erased random walk. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 14:no. 36, 1012–1073, 2009. MR2506124

[MS13] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Imaginary geometry IV: interior rays, whole-plane reversibility, and space-filling trees. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2013, 1302.4738.

[MS16a] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Imaginary geometry III: reversibility of SLE_κ for $\kappa \in (4, 8)$. 184(2):455–486, 2016, 1201.1498.

[MS16b] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity and the Brownian map II: geodesics and continuity of the embedding. *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2016, 1605.03563.

[MS16c] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Imaginary geometry I: interacting SLEs. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 164(3-4):553–705, 2016, 1201.1496. MR3477777

[MS16d] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Imaginary geometry II: Reversibility of $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho_1; \rho_2)$ for $\kappa \in (0, 4)$. *Ann. Probab.*, 44(3):1647–1722, 2016, 1201.1497. MR3502592

[Mul67] R. C. Mullin. On the enumeration of tree-rooted maps. *Canad. J. Math.*, 19:174–183, 1967. MR0205882 (34 #5708)

[Pro56] Y. V. Prohorov. Convergence of random processes and limit theorems in probability theory. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.*, 1:177–238, 1956. MR0084896

[RV14] R. Rhodes and V. Vargas. Gaussian multiplicative chaos and applications: A review. *Probab. Surv.*, 11:315–392, 2014, 1305.6221. MR3274356

[Sch00] O. Schramm. Scaling limits of loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees. *Israel J. Math.*, 118:221–288, 2000, math/9904022. MR1776084 (2001m:60227)

[She07] S. Sheffield. Gaussian free fields for mathematicians. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 139(3-4):521–541, 2007, math/0312099. MR2322706 (2008d:60120)

[She10] S. Sheffield. Conformal weldings of random surfaces: SLE and the quantum gravity zipper. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2010, 1012.4797.

[She16] S. Sheffield. Quantum gravity and inventory accumulation. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2011, 1108.2241.

[Smi01] S. Smirnov. Critical percolation in the plane: conformal invariance, Cardy's formula, scaling limits. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 333(3):239–244, 2001, 0909.4499. MR1851632 (2002f:60193)

[SS09] O. Schramm and S. Sheffield. Contour lines of the two-dimensional discrete Gaussian free field. *Acta Math.*, 202(1):21–137, 2009, math/0605337. MR2486487 (2010f:60238)

[SS13] O. Schramm and S. Sheffield. A contour line of the continuum Gaussian free field. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 157(1-2):47–80, 2013, math/0605337. MR3101840

[Wil96] D. B. Wilson. Generating random spanning trees more quickly than the cover time. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-eighth Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing (Philadelphia, PA, 1996)*, pages 296–303, New York, 1996. ACM. MR1427525